

Strictly Positive-Real Functions and the Lefschetz-Kalman-Yakubovich (LKY) Lemma

JAMES H. TAYLOR

A network made up of the lumped passive elements R , L , and C (resistance, inductance, and capacitance) has a driving point impedance $Z(s)$ that is rational and positive-real, and, conversely, any rational function $Z(s)$ that is positive-real can be realized as the driving point impedance of a passive RLC network. The properties of positive-real functions have thus been exhaustively studied in the evolution of modern network theory. Strictly positive-real functions have not received the same attention, however, and this deficiency has led to a basic lack of clarity in one area of absolute stability theory. A resolution of this difficulty as detailed in [1] is outlined in this letter.

Given $Z(s) = (n(s))/(d(s))$ having poles and zeros in the left half plane ($\text{Re } s < 0$), the necessary and sufficient condition that $Z(s)$ be positive-real (denoted $Z(s) \in \{PR\}$) is that $\text{Re } Z(i\omega) \geq 0$ for all real ω . The corresponding conditions for $Z(s)$ to be strictly positive-real ($Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$) have been given in two forms: $Z(s)$ must have poles and zeros in the open left half plane ($\text{Re } s < 0$) and either

$$\text{Re } \dot{Z}(i\omega) > 0, \quad \omega \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (1a)$$

or

$$\text{Re } Z(i\omega) \geq \delta > 0, \quad \omega \in [-\infty, \infty]. \quad (1b)$$

Equation (1a) is not sufficiently strict [and this has led to a fundamental complication in the Lefschetz-Kalman-Yakubovich (LKY) lemma], while (1b) is too stringent.

First, the proposed definition of a strictly positive-real function is motivated by an appeal to network theory. A strictly positive-real

function is said to correspond to the driving point impedance of a dissipative network, i.e., a network composed of resistors, lossy inductors, and lossy capacitors. These latter elements may be represented by $L(s + \epsilon)$ and $C(s + \epsilon)$, corresponding to an ideal inductor in series with $R_L = \epsilon L$ and an ideal capacitor in parallel with $G_C = \epsilon C$, respectively. Directly, an intuitively reasonable definition of strictly positive-real functions ensues.

Definition 1: $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$ if and only if there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Z(s - \epsilon) \in \{PR\}$.//

Thus given any passive RLC network with $Z(s) \in \{PR\}$, a dissipative network is always obtained by substituting $L_i(s + \epsilon)$ and $C_i(s + \epsilon)$ for each $L_i s$ and $C_i s$ in $Z(s)$, yielding the driving point impedance $Z(s + \epsilon)$, and, conversely, for any $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$ there must exist some $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$ guarantees that $Z(s - \epsilon) \in \{SPR\}$ while $Z(s - \epsilon_1)$ is merely positive-real.

This definition leads to an important asymptotic property.

Corollary to Definition 1: If $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$ then $\text{Re } Z(i\omega)$ can go to zero no more rapidly than ω^{-2} as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.//

Proof: Given $Z(s) = (n(s))/(d(s)) \in \{SPR\}$; $\text{Re } Z(i\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ only if order $[n(s)] = (\text{order } [d(s)] - 1)$, i.e., if

$$Z(s) = \frac{c_n s^{n-1} + \dots + c_2 s + c_1}{s^n + a_n s^{n-1} + \dots + a_2 s + a_1}, \quad \begin{array}{l} c_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ a_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{array}$$

By expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } Z(i\omega - \epsilon) &= \text{Re} \frac{n(i\omega - \epsilon)d(-i\omega - \epsilon)}{d(i\omega - \epsilon)d(-i\omega - \epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{\omega^{2(n-1)} [a_n c_n - c_{n-1} - \epsilon c_n] + \dots}{\omega^{2n} + \dots} \end{aligned}$$

Thus since $\text{Re } Z(i\omega - \epsilon) \geq 0$ is to be satisfied as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, clearly $(a_n c_n - c_{n-1}) \geq \epsilon c_n \triangleq b_n > 0$ is required, and so $\text{Re } Z(i\omega) \sim b_n / \omega^2$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.//

Example (Guillemin [2]): Several points are clarified by considering the driving point impedance of a network made up of two parallel

Manuscript received May 22, 1973.

The author was with the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India. He is now with The Analytic Sciences Corporation, Reading, Mass. 01867.

paths, the first a lossy capacitor [C in parallel with G] and the second a lossy inductor [L in series with R]. The normalized impedance is

$$Z_1(s) = \frac{s + c_1}{s^2 + a_2s + a_1}, \quad C = 1 \quad L = \frac{1}{a_1 - c_1(a_2 - c_1)}$$

$$G = (a_2 - c_1)C \quad R = c_1L.$$

Directly,

$$\operatorname{Re} Z_1(i\omega) = \frac{(a_2 - c_1)\omega^2 + a_1c_1}{(a_1 - \omega^2)^2 + (a_2\omega)^2}.$$

When $c_1 = a_2$, note that $G = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} Z_1(i\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$; if $c_1 = 0$ then $R = 0$. In both cases $Z_1(s)$ is only positive-real and the network having this driving point impedance cannot be realized with lossy elements. Only in the second case is $\operatorname{Re} Z_1(i\omega)$ zero for finite ω (at $\omega = 0$); if $c_1 = a_2$ then $\operatorname{Re} Z_1(i\omega) > 0$ for $\omega \in (-\infty, \infty)$, so condition (1a) is not in itself a useful definition of a strictly positive-real function. Also, note that $Z_1(s)$ could not be accepted as a strictly positive-real function using condition (1b) under any circumstances.

Every real rational function that is proper (having no more zeros than poles) may be realized by a quadruple $\{\psi, c, A, b\}$ as

$$Z(s) = \psi + c^T (sI - A)^{-1} b \quad (2)$$

where ψ is a scalar, c and b are n -element column vectors and A is an $n \times n$ matrix. A fundamental network theoretic result (which is central to the solution of the absolute stability problem via the Lyapunov direct method) is the Kalman-Yakubovich lemma [3]. One form of this lemma, due to Lefschetz [4], is especially useful in the stability analysis of nonlinear time-varying systems (cf., Narendra and Taylor [5]).

Lemma 1: Given $\delta > 0$, a matrix A such that $|sI - A|$ has only zeros in the open left half plane, a real vector b such that (A, b) is completely controllable, a real vector c , a scalar ψ , and an arbitrary real symmetric positive definite matrix L ($L = L^T > 0$); then a real vector q and a real matrix $P = P^T > 0$ satisfying

$$A^T P + PA = -qq^T - \delta L \quad (3a)$$

$$Pb - c = \sqrt{2}\psi q \quad (3b)$$

exist if and only if δ is sufficiently small and $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$.

Only the constraint $\operatorname{Re} Z(i\omega) > 0$ was originally required in [4]. In Lefschetz, Meyer, and Wonham [6] it was pointed out that this condition is too lax if $\psi = 0$; in that case, the additional requirement $c^T A b < 0$ must be imposed. Using phase variable canonical form (as in [4], with no loss in generality), viz.,

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ \vdots & & I & \\ 0 & & & \\ \hline -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_n \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

direct expansion results in

$$Z(s) = \psi + \frac{c_n s^{n-1} + \cdots + c_2 s + c_1}{s^n + a_n s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_2 s + a_1}$$

and $c^T A b = (c_{n-1} - a_n c_n)$. Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} Z(i\omega) = \psi + \frac{(-c^T A b) \omega^{2(n-1)} + \cdots}{\omega^{2n} + \cdots}$$

and the condition $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$ immediately guarantees that $c^T A b < 0$ if $\psi = 0$ by the Corollary to Definition 1. Hence Definition 1 obviates the necessity of introducing the seemingly artificial auxiliary condition $c^T A b < 0$ explicitly.

Finally, Lemma 1 and Definition 1 make the LKY lemma entirely equivalent to one form of the Kalman-Yakubovich lemma due to Meyer [7]. Given $Z(s) \in \{SPR\}$, define

$$\hat{Z}(s) \triangleq Z(s - \epsilon) = \psi + c^T [(s - \epsilon)I - A]^{-1} b \triangleq \psi + c^T (sI - \hat{A})^{-1} b$$

where $\hat{A} \triangleq A + \epsilon I$; for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $\hat{Z}(s) \in \{PR\}$, and $|sI - \hat{A}|$ has zeros only in the open left half plane.

Lemma 2 (Meyer [7, Lemma 1]): Given a matrix \hat{A} such that $|sI - \hat{A}|$ has only zeros in the open left half plane, a real vector b such that (\hat{A}, b) is completely controllable, a real vector c , and a scalar ψ ; then a real vector \hat{q} , a real symmetric positive semidefinite matrix M ($M = M^T \geq 0$), and a real matrix $P = P^T > 0$ satisfying

$$\hat{A}^T P + P \hat{A} = -\hat{q} \hat{q}^T - M \quad (4a)$$

$$Pb - c = \sqrt{2}\psi \hat{q} \quad (4b)$$

exist if and only if $\hat{Z}(s) \in \{PR\}$.

Substituting $\hat{A} = A + \epsilon I$ into (4a) yields

$$A^T P + PA = -\hat{q} \hat{q}^T - (M + 2\epsilon P).$$

Since $(M + 2\epsilon P)$ is symmetric and positive definite, an elementary result of matrix theory is that for any $L = L^T > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M + 2\epsilon P = \delta L + \hat{M}$$

and $\hat{M} = \hat{M}^T \geq 0$. From Meyer's proof (it is not entirely obvious here) it is thus always possible to satisfy (3a) with q satisfying $qq^T = \hat{q} \hat{q}^T + \hat{M}$.

This new definition of strictly positive-real functions may not be universally useful in every situation where it is necessary to impose a stronger condition than $Z(s) \in \{PR\}$; however, these points demonstrate that it plays an important role in the present context.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Taylor, "Strictly positive real functions and the Lefschetz-Kalman-Yakubovich lemma," Dep. Elec. Eng., Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India, Tech. Rep. EE 22/72, Aug. 1972.
- [2] E. A. Guillemin, *Synthesis of Passive Networks*. New York: Wiley, 1957.
- [3] R. E. Kalman, "Lyapunov functions for the problems of Lur'e in automatic control," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, vol. 49, pp. 201-205, Feb. 1963.
- [4] S. Lefschetz, *Stability of Nonlinear Control Systems*. New York: Academic, 1963.
- [5] K. S. Narendra and J. H. Taylor, *Frequency Domain Criteria for Absolute Stability*. New York: Academic, 1973.
- [6] S. Lefschetz, K. R. Meyer, and W. M. Wonham, "A correction to the propagating error in the Lurie problem," *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 3, p. 449, July 1967.
- [7] K. R. Meyer, "On the existence of Lyapunov functions for the problem on Lur'e," *SIAM J. Contr.*, vol. 3, pp. 373-383, Aug. 1965.