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ABSTRACT

This thesis is about the simulation of optical fiber communication systems with the effect of PMD (Polarization Mode Dispersion) and the application of adaptive channel equalizers, CPI (Cross Polarization Interference) cancellers and echo cancellers which are used to mitigate PMD.

The basic structure of this system is that two QAM signals are transmitted through a long optical fiber with PMD and they are received by a coherent receiver which consists of adaptive electrical channel equalizers and adaptive electrical CPI cancellers. The echo in a bidirectional transmission system is studied and adaptive echo cancellers are used to cancel it.

The objective of this thesis is to create the system structure, to simulate the optical fiber channel with PMD, to simulate the adaptive channel equalizers, CPI cancellers and echo cancellers and to evaluate the system performance.
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\( \mathbf{x}_h(n) \) input QAM signal vector for the horizontal channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{x}_{ih}(n) \) in-phase signal in the horizontal polarization channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{x}_v(n) \) in-phase signal in the vertical polarization channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{x}_{vh}(n) \) quadrature signal in the horizontal polarization channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{x}_{qv}(n) \) quadrature signal in the vertical polarization channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{x}_v(n) \) input QAM signal vector for the vertical channel. See Eq. (2.1) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y(n) \) output of the adaptive equalizer. See Eq. (4.7).

\( \mathbf{y}(n) \) output signal vector. See Eq. (4.10) and Fig. (4.4).

\( y_{eq}(t) \) output of the equalizer. See Fig. (4.1).

\( y_h(t) \) output of receiver in the horizontal channel. See Eq. (2.9) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y_{ih}(t) \) demodulated in-phase signal in the horizontal channel. See Eq. (2.10) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y_v(t) \) demodulated in-phase signal in the vertical channel. See Eq. (2.10) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y_{vh}(t) \) demodulated quadrature signal in the horizontal channel. See Eq. (2.10) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y_{qv}(t) \) demodulated quadrature signal in the vertical channel. See Eq. (2.10) and Fig. (2.1).

\( y_v(t) \) output of the receiver in the vertical channel. See Eq. (2.9) and Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{Z}_h(t) \) received PAM signals in the horizontal channel. See Fig. (2.1).

\( \mathbf{Z}_v(t) \) received PAM signals in the vertical channel. See Fig. (2.1).

\( \alpha \) average differential group delay time. See Eq. (3.12).

\( \alpha_f \) fiber loss.

\( \beta_f \) propagation constant for the fast mode. See Eq. (3.1) and Fig. (3.1).

\( \beta_s \) propagation constant for the slow mode. See Eq. (3.1) and Fig. (3.1).

\( \gamma \) received SNR. See Eq. (5.5).

\( \gamma_r \) Rayleigh scattering coefficient. See Eq. (6.6).

\( \gamma_s \) system SNR. See Eq. (5.12).
\( \gamma \) attenuation coefficient. See Eq. (6.6).
\( \Delta \beta \) birefringence. See Eq. (3.1).
\( \Delta n_{\text{eff}} \) differential index of fraction. See Eq. (3.1).
\( \Delta r \) DGD. See Eq. (3.2) and Fig. (3.1).
\( \Delta r_{\text{rms}} \) rms value of the differential group delay \( \Delta r \). See Eq. (3.14).
\( \nabla_{\text{re}}(J_r(n)) \) gradient of \( J_r(n) \) to \( e_{\text{re}}(n) \). See Eq. (4.23).
\( \nabla_{\text{im}}(J_r(n)) \) gradient of \( J_r(n) \) to \( e_{\text{im}}(n) \). See Eq. (4.22).
\( \theta_k, \phi_k \) independent uniformly distributed random variables, distributed from -\( \pi \) to \( \pi \). See Eqs. (3.18, 3.19).
\( \lambda \) wavelength.
\( \mu \) step-size, an adaptation constant. See Eq. (4.8).
\( \sigma_r^2 \) variance of the channel Gaussian noise.
\( \sigma_{n_1}^2 \) variance of \( n_1 \).
\( \sigma_{n_2}^2 \) variance of \( n_2 \).
\( \tau_{\text{Max}} \) Maxwellian distributed DGD. See Eq. (3.16).
\( \tau_r, \tau_r \) arrival times in the two polarization states. See Eq. (3.9).
\( \langle r \rangle \) average DGD in each segment of a fiber. See Eq. (3.15).
\( \phi_h \) initial phases in the horizontal channel. See Eq. (2.18).
\( \phi_v \) initial phases in the vertical channel. See Eq. (2.17).
\( \psi \) polarization-independent phase. See Eq. (3.4).
\( \pi \) Ludolphian number, 3.1415926 ... .
\( \omega \) angular frequency.
\( \omega_0 \) central angular frequency.
\( \phi_0, \phi_h \) phase of the optical field. See Eqs. (3.7, 3.8).
\( \Omega \) dispersion vectors, indicates rate and direction of rotation. See Eq. (3.10).
\( ^* \) Hermitian transpose of \( * \).
\( ^t \) matrix transpose of \( * \).
\( ^c \) complex conjugate of \( * \).
\( \ast \) \( \ast \) convolution of \( * \) and \( \diamond \).
\( \ast \ast \) multiplication of \( \ast \) and \( \ast \).
\( \langle \ast \rangle \) average of \( \ast \).
\( |\ast| \) absolute value of \( \ast \).
# LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Bit Error Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Cross Polarization Interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>inverse Discrete Fourier Transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGD</td>
<td>Differential Group Delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>Digital Signal Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDFA</td>
<td>Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR</td>
<td>Finite Impulse Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Intermediate Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM-DD</td>
<td>Intensity Modulation with Direct Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI</td>
<td>Inter-Symbol Interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS</td>
<td>Least Mean Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTE</td>
<td>Linear Transversal Equalizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>Mean Squared Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTDR</td>
<td>Optical Time Domain Reflectometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM</td>
<td>Pulse Amplitude Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Probability Density Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Polarization Mode Dispersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Pseudonoise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPs</td>
<td>Principal States of Polarization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAM</td>
<td>Quadrature Amplitude Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLS</td>
<td>Recursive Least Squares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Root Mean Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZF</td>
<td>Zero Forcing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1
Introduction

1.1 Introduction to the Research

Optical fiber communication systems have attracted more attention in the recent years, because of the outstanding advantage of optical fibers. The most significant merit of an optical fiber is its enormous bandwidth. An optical fiber communication system uses a very high carrier frequency, around 200 THz [2], which yields a far greater potential bandwidth than a cable system; coaxial cables have a bandwidth up to approximately 500 MHz [1]. In optical systems, this carrier frequency is usually expressed as a wavelength, 1.55 μm. This enormous bandwidth provides the potential to transmit signals at a very high speed.

At present, however, this potential bandwidth cannot be fully utilized. A significant reason is the fiber dispersion. Usually, the fiber dispersion includes inter-mode dispersion, intra-mode dispersion and PMD [2]. In a single mode fiber, inter-mode dispersion is absent. In the systems used today, intra-mode dispersion is not a significant problem. PMD is the main limitation that confines the optical fiber transmission systems from utilizing the bandwidth effectively.

An EDFA (Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier) can regenerate weak signals, but it makes the dispersion worse since the dispersion effects accumulate over the multiple amplifier stages. Therefore, the current task of optical fiber communication system
design is to solve the fiber dispersion problem, especially PMD. There are many
techniques developed for PMD compensation in both the optical domain and the
electrical domain [2]. Early strategies to reduce PMD were focused on reducing the
intrinsic PMD of the fiber by altering the manufacturing process [60,61]. This led to the
low and stable values of PMD in the new generation of single-mode fibers being
manufactured [62]. More recent efforts have been examining ways in which lightwave
systems can be designed to better accommodate otherwise unacceptable levels of PMD
and thus make full use of the existing embedded fiber base. Such strategies include
reduction of ISI (Inter-Symbol Interference) by electronic equalization in the receiver
[40,41,43], and optical equalization using an automatic polarization controller at the
receiver or transmitter [42,59].

Winters proposed a strategy for PMD compensation using optical equalization
[42]. He used a polarization controller to adjust the polarization into a fiber to one of the
PSPs (Principal States of Polarization) of the fiber. The receiver detects only one of the
PSPs to eliminate first-order PMD. A method to track the changing PSPs using a
gradient search algorithm was also presented in his paper. The advantage of optical
techniques is that they can be bit rate independent, while for electrical techniques, the
complexity and difficulty will increase dramatically as the bit rate increases. With the
development of high speed DSP (Digital Signal Processing) and Si/SiGe epitaxial
transistors [64,65], an electrical equalizer operating at 10 GHz may be realized [51]. A
high speed electrical equalizer becomes an attractive solution for PMD mitigation due to
its compact and cost effective implementation.
Based on previous research, the intent of this thesis is to construct a simulation model of an optical fiber communication system, including simulating the optical fiber channel with PMD as well as the electrical channel equalizers and CPI cancellers to compensate PMD.

1.2 Thesis Contributions

This thesis proposes a novel optical fiber transmission system where two 4-QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) signals are transmitted separately over vertical and horizontal polarization channels. This strategy has a clear advantage, more system capacity and higher bit rate. However, it also causes CPI between the two signals in the two polarization channels. Actually, CPI is the result of PMD due to the power coupling. PMD and CPI can be compensated using electrical adaptive filters.

The simulation of PMD in an optical fiber channel is an important part of this thesis. By applying the theory of PSPs [45,52] and the waveplate model [42,57,59], the frequency responses and baseband impulse responses are obtained. The complex baseband impulse responses are the backbone to construct the simulation of the optical fiber channel. Gaussian noise signals are also added to represent the thermal noise in the channel and the front end of the receiver.

This thesis proposes the combination of channel equalizers and CPI cancellers which are applied to compensate the PMD and CPI in an optical fiber communication system. It shows the potential application of electrical adaptive equalizers and CPI cancellers in an optical fiber communication system and demonstrates a bright future for
this strategy. The structure of the channel equalizers and cancellers is described and analyzed. The contribution that the equalizers and CPI cancellers offer is demonstrated by the performance improvement they create.

The system performance such as the eye pattern and bit error rate are presented. The bit error rate is an important parameter to measure the performance of an optical fiber communication system. It shows the PMD, CPI and the noise effects on the optical fiber communication system as well as and the contribution of adaptive channel equalizers and CPI cancellers in the receiver. Also, the bit error rate reveals the relation between PMD and the received SNR (Signal Noise Ratio). and helps us determine the requirement for PMD and the noise tolerance.

As a further study, bidirectional transmission through a single fiber is also presented. The crosstalk in the coupler and the backscatter [1] in the fiber causes a returned echo in this system. To eliminate this echo, echo cancellers in the receiver might be used. The power penalties when there are echo cancellers and no echo cancellers [19,28] are compared to show the contribution of the echo cancellers.

1.3 Thesis Outline

The outline of the thesis is as follows:

Chapter 2: The system simulation model is constructed. Signal format, transmitter, channel and receiver structures are defined. The requirements of the baseband simulation model are proposed and explained. Finally, the simulation tools, MATLAB® and
Chapter 3
PMD concepts and the PSPs are discussed first. Based on the theory of PSPs, a waveplate model is presented, which is used to simulate the frequency responses and complex baseband impulse responses. The computer simulation of PMD is achieved by using the complex baseband impulse responses. The method of simulation using MATLAB® and SIMULINK® is also shown in this chapter.

Chapter 4:
At first, the adaptive channel equalizer technique is introduced, which includes fundamental equalizer theory and the type of adaptive equalizers. Then, the method to determine the adaptive tap weights of the equalizer and CPI canceller using the normalized LMS (Least Mean Square) algorithm is derived. The adaptive channel equalizers and CPI cancellers are constructed based on these results. The performance of the adaptive equalizers and cancellers is also analyzed.

Chapter 5:
The eye patterns of the received signals are shown in this chapter. The system bit error rate curve is also shown. The discussion of the system performance is presented.

Chapter 6:
Bidirectional transmission in a single fiber is proposed. The coupler crosstalk and Rayleigh backscatter as well as their effect on the echo are introduced. Then, the power penalty is calculated.
the implementation with or without an echo cancellers is discussed.

Chapter 7: The conclusion of this research and the future work are presented.
Chapter 2
System Simulation Model

2.1 System Model

In this thesis, 4-state QAM signals are launched onto a fiber. The benefit of this modulation scheme is good spectral efficiency. A dually polarized digital optical fiber transmission system with two independent QAM input signals is constructed [21-26]. One QAM signal is transmitted over a horizontal channel and the other is transmitted over a vertical channel. This system is shown in Fig. (2.1).

The input signals $A_x(n)$ and $A_y(n)$ are 4 level PAM (Pulse Amplitude Modulation) signals. They are uniformly distributed random integer signals ranging from 1 to 4. These PAM signals are then converted to QAM signals $x_v(n)$ and $x_h(n)$ by mapping,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}_v(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{v_1}(n) \\ x_{v_2}(n) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{x}_h(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{h_1}(n) \\ x_{h_2}(n) \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
$$

(2.1)

where $\mathbf{x}_v(n)$, $\mathbf{x}_h(n)$ are QAM signal vectors in the vertical and horizontal channels.

$x_{v_1}(n)$ is the in-phase signal in the vertical polarization channel,

$x_{v_2}(n)$ is the quadrature signal in the vertical polarization channel,

$x_{h_1}(n)$ is the in-phase signal in the horizontal polarization channel, and

$x_{h_2}(n)$ is the quadrature signal in the horizontal polarization channel.
\( x_v(n), x_q(n), x_{vh}(n) \) and \( x_{qh}(n) \) are the values of \( 1+j, -1+j, 1-j \) and \( -1-j \). And \( j \) is the imaginary number, satisfying \( j^2 = -1 \).

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 2.1 Passband System Model**

The signals after transmitter filter, \( g(t) \), are \( s_v(t) \), \( s_q(t) \), \( s_{vh}(t) \) and \( s_{qh}(t) \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_v(t) & = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_v(n) g(t-nT), \\
    s_q(t) & = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_q(n) g(t-nT), \\
    s_{vh}(t) & = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_{vh}(n) g(t-nT), \\
    s_{qh}(t) & = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_{qh}(n) g(t-nT),
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)

where \( s_v(t) \) is the in-phase signal after transmitter filter in the vertical channel,

\( s_q(t) \) is the quadrature signal after transmitter filter in the vertical channel,
$s_v(t)$ is the in-phase signal after transmitter filter in the horizontal channel, 

$s_q(t)$ is the quadrature signal after transmitter filter in the horizontal channel, 

$g(t)$ is the transmitter filter, which can be selected by the designer to satisfy the limitations on transmitted power and bandwidth, 

$T$ is the transmitter filter signaling rate or symbol period, and 

$N$ is the number of transmitter filter taps.

The above signals are then passed to modulators. The modulated signals are $s_v(t)$ and $s_h(t)$,

$$s_v(t) = \sqrt{2} \left[ s_v(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - s_q(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \quad s_h(t) = \sqrt{2} \left[ s_v(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) + s_q(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right] . \quad (2.3)$$

where $s_v(t)$ is the modulated signal after the modulator in the vertical channel.

$s_h(t)$ is the modulated signal after the modulator in the horizontal channel. and

$f_c$ is the carrier frequency.

The optical fiber channel is characterized by a matrix $h_p(t)$ with four passband impulse responses,

$$h_p(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{p_v}(t) & h_{p_q}(t) \\ h_{p_h}(t) & h_{p_q}(t) \end{bmatrix} . \quad (2.4)$$

where $h_{p_v}(t)$ is the passband vertical to vertical impulse response, 

$h_{p_h}(t)$ is the passband horizontal to horizontal impulse response, 

$h_{p_q}(t)$ is the passband vertical to horizontal impulse response, and 

$h_{p_q}(t)$ is the passband horizontal to vertical impulse response.
In Eq. (2.4), the passband channel impulse responses are related to the baseband channel impulse responses by,

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_{prv}(t) &= 2 \text{Re}[h_{bvr}(t)e^{j2\pi f_c t}] = 2 \left[ h_{vrv}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - h_{qrv}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \\
    h_{pvh}(t) &= 2 \text{Re}[h_{bvh}(t)e^{j2\pi f_c t}] = 2 \left[ h_{vvh}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - h_{qvh}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \\
    h_{prh}(t) &= 2 \text{Re}[h_{bhr}(t)e^{j2\pi f_c t}] = 2 \left[ h_{vrh}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - h_{qrh}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \\
    h_{phh}(t) &= 2 \text{Re}[h_{bhh}(t)e^{j2\pi f_c t}] = 2 \left[ h_{hhh}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - h_{qhh}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right].
\end{align*}
\] 

(2.5)

where \(\text{Re}[\ast]\) denotes the real part of \(\ast\). The subscripts of \(h(t)\) in Eq. (2.5) are of the form \(s_1, s_2, s_3\). The first subscript, \(s_1\), is one of “\(p\)”, “\(b\)”, “\(r\)” or “\(q\)”, denoting the type of impulse response, “passband”, “complex baseband”, “in-phase baseband” or “quadrature baseband”, respectively. The second and the third subscripts, \(s_2\) and \(s_3\), will be either “\(v\)” or “\(h\)”, denoting “horizontal” and “vertical” respectively. The subscript \(s_1\) denotes the input to the channel and the subscript \(s_2\) denotes the output of the channel.

Having known the modulated signals and channel responses, the output of the channel, \(u(t)\), can be obtained [25],

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} u_v(t) \\ u_h(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_v(t) \ast h_{prv}(t) + s_h(t) \ast h_{prh}(t) \\ s_v(t) \ast h_{pvh}(t) + s_h(t) \ast h_{phh}(t) \end{bmatrix} + v(t).
\end{align*}
\] 

(2.6)

where \(\ast\) denotes convolution,

\[
s(t) \ast h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t - \tau)s(\tau)d\tau,
\]

(2.7)

\(u_v(t)\) is the output of the vertical channel,

\(u_h(t)\) is the output of the horizontal channel, and

\(v(t)\) is the Gaussian noise signal vector with the components in both vertical and
horizontal channels, \( v_x(t) \) and \( v_h(t) \).

\[
v(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_x(t) \\ v_h(t) \end{bmatrix}.
\] (2.8)

The noise signals \( v_x(t) \) and \( v_h(t) \) are zero mean uncorrelated with variances of \( \sigma_v^2 \).

In the receiver, \( u(t) \) is first demodulated and then passed through receiver filters.

The outputs of the receiver are \( y_x(t) \) and \( y_h(t) \).

\[
y_x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{vx}(t) \\ y_{qv}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{vh}(t) \\ y_{qh}(t) \end{bmatrix},
\] (2.9)

and we have,

\[
y_{vx}(t) = \sqrt{2} [u_x(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t + \phi_x)] * f(t),
\]

\[
y_{qv}(t) = -\sqrt{2} [u_x(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t + \phi_x)] * f(t),
\]

\[
y_{vh}(t) = \sqrt{2} [u_h(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t + \phi_h)] * f(t),
\]

\[
y_{qh}(t) = -\sqrt{2} [u_h(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t + \phi_h)] * f(t),
\] (2.10)

where \( y_{vx}(t) \) is the demodulated in-phase signal in the vertical channel.

\( y_{qv}(t) \) is the demodulated quadrature signal in the vertical channel,

\( y_{vh}(t) \) is the demodulated in-phase signal in the horizontal channel,

\( y_{qh}(t) \) is the demodulated quadrature signal in the horizontal channel,

\( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_h \) are the phase offsets between the transmitter and the receiver in the vertical and horizontal channels.

\( f(t) \) is the receiver filter that is used to cut off the frequency components near \( 2f_c \) and preserve the desired component near zero.

The PAM signals \( Z_x(n) \) and \( Z_h(n) \) for the vertical and horizontal channels can be obtained.
by demapping the received QAM signals $y_r(t)$ and $y_h(t)$. $Z_r(t)$ and $Z_h(t)$ are similar in structure to $A_r(t)$ and $A_h(t)$.

In digital transmission, signals are often represented by ideal steps, and they have infinite bandwidth. To restrict the spectrum of the source signal, an RC (Raised Cosine) filter is commonly used [11]. In this system, the RC filter is divided into two parts, one is the transmitter filter $g(t)$ and the other is the receiver filter $f(t)$. Each part is the square root of the magnitude response of the RC filter in the frequency domain. The impulse response of $g(t)$ and $f(t)$ is [11],

$$g(t) = f(t) = \frac{4r}{\pi \sqrt{T}} \frac{\cos \left( (1 + r) \pi \frac{t}{T} \right) + \frac{\sin \left( (1 - r) \pi \frac{t}{T} \right)}{4r \frac{t}{T}}}{\left(1 - \left(4r \frac{t}{T}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}},$$

(2.11)

where $r$ is the rolloff factor, $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

The impulse response of RC filter is shown in Fig. (2.2) [11].

![Figure 2.2 Impulse Response of RC Filter](image-url)
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2.2 Baseband Simulation and Coherent Demodulation

The previous section described the passband model where the carrier frequency $f_c$ was introduced in the model. According to Nyquist's baseband sampling theorem, it requires that a simulation sampling frequency $f_s$ must be at least twice the maximum frequency being modeled. Since the optical carrier frequency is usually very high, around 200 THz, modeling passband communication systems involves high computational loads. To alleviate this problem, baseband simulation techniques are used.

Baseband simulation, also known as the low-pass equivalent method, uses the complex envelope of a passband signal. As an example, consider the vertical channel in Fig. 2. The equation for modulating the in-phase signal $s_c(t)$ and the quadrature signal $s_q(t)$ by the carrier frequency is [5,11],

$$s_c(t) = \sqrt{2} \left[ s_c(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - s_q(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \quad (2.12)$$

which is equivalent to,

$$s_c(t) = \sqrt{2} \text{Re} \left\{ s_c(t) + js_q(t) e^{j(2\pi f_c t)} \right\} = \sqrt{2} \text{Re} \left\{ S^{bc}(t) e^{j(2\pi f_c t)} \right\}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $S^{bc}(t)$ is called the complex baseband waveform,

$$S^{bc}(t) = s_c(t) + js_q(t). \quad (2.14)$$

The high frequency component $f_c$ disappeared in this expression. Baseband simulation models the complex baseband waveform $S^{bc}(t)$ only. Let $B$ be the bandwidth of the message signal. The baseband simulation requires the simulation sampling rate to be
larger than $2B_w$. In the baseband simulation, the signal bandwidth is always assumed to be much smaller than the carrier frequency, or $B_w << f_c$. Note, the output of a baseband modulator and the input to a baseband demodulator are complex signals.

Demodulation can be divided into two methods, coherent and noncoherent demodulation [11]. Coherent demodulation requires the knowledge of the frequency and phase to recover the received message signal. Noncoherent demodulation permits the recovery of the message signal without knowing the frequency and phase.

The coherently demodulated signal in the vertical channel is, $y_v(t)$,

\[ y_v(t) = y_{v_1}(t) + jy_{v_2}(t) \]

\[ = \sqrt{2} \left[ u_v(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t + \phi_v) - j \left( u_v(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t + \phi_v) \right) \right] * f(t) \]

\[ = \sqrt{2} \left[ u_v(t)e^{-j(2\pi f_c t + \phi_v)} \right] * f(t). \] (2.15)

Suppose,

\[ u_v(t) = \sqrt{2} \left[ u_{v_1}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - u_{v_2}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right], \] (2.16)

we can get.

\[ y_v(t) = \sqrt{2} \left[ \sqrt{2} \left( u_{v_1}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - u_{v_2}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right) \right] e^{-j(2\pi f_c t + \phi_v)} * f(t) \]

\[ = 2 \left[ \left( u_{v_1}(t) \cos^2(2\pi f_c t) - u_{v_2}(t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - j \left( u_{v_1}(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right) + j \left( u_{v_2}(t) \sin^2(2\pi f_c t) \right) \right) \right] e^{-j\phi_v} \]

\[ = \left( u_{v_1}(t) + j u_{v_2}(t) \right) e^{-j\phi_v}, \] (2.17)

where $u_{v_1}(t)$ is the received baseband in-phase signal in the vertical channel, and $u_{v_2}(t)$ is the received baseband quadrature signal in the vertical channel.

Similar to $y_v(t)$, the received signal in horizontal channel, $y_h(t)$, is.
\[ y(t) = (u_{bh}(t) + j u_{bqh}(t))e^{-j\phi}, \] (2.18)

where \( u_{bh}(t) \) is the received baseband in-phase signal in the horizontal channel, and \( u_{bqh}(t) \) is the received baseband quadrature signal in the horizontal channel.

2.3 Baseband System Model

Because of the benefit of baseband simulation, the passband model which is shown in Fig. (2.1) can be transformed to a baseband model. A baseband model is constructed which includes a transmitter, a receiver and a channel, they are shown in Fig. (2.3).

In the baseband model, the complex signals can be expressed as the matrix forms.

![Figure 2.3 Baseband System Model](image link)
Thus, in the transmitter, the baseband modulated signals $s_{nv}(t)$ and $s_{bh}(t)$ are,

$$s_{nv}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{nv}(t) \\ s_{qv}(t) \end{bmatrix},$$
$$s_{bh}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_{nh}(t) \\ s_{qh}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.19}$$

where $s_{nv}(t)$ is the baseband signal vector in the vertical channel, and $s_{nh}(t)$ is the baseband signal vector in the horizontal channel.

Corresponding to the passband channel impulse responses in Eqs. (2.4, 2.5), the baseband impulse responses are expressed in a matrix form,

$$h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{nv}(t) & h_{vb}(t) \\ h_{mb}(t) & h_{bh}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.20}$$

where $h(t)$ is the overall baseband complex impulse response matrix,

$h_{nv}(t)$ is the baseband impulse response matrix, from the vertical to the vertical channel,

$$h_{nv}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{nv}(t) & h_{qvv}(t) \\ -h_{qvv}(t) & h_{nv}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.21}$$

$h_{vb}(t)$ is the baseband impulse response matrix, from the vertical to the horizontal channel,

$$h_{vb}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{vb}(t) & h_{qvb}(t) \\ -h_{qvb}(t) & h_{vb}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.22}$$

$h_{bh}(t)$ is the baseband impulse response matrix, from the horizontal to the vertical channel,
\[ h_{hv}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{h hv}(t) & h_{q hv}(t) \\ -h_{q hv}(t) & h_{hv}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \] and

\[ h_{bh}(t) \] is the baseband impulse response matrix, from the horizontal to the horizontal channel,

\[ h_{hh}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{h hh}(t) & h_{q hh}(t) \\ -h_{q hh}(t) & h_{hh}(t) \end{bmatrix}. \]

In the receiver, the received baseband signal vectors \( u_{h}(t) \) and \( u_{bh}(t) \) are,

\[ u_{bh}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{bhr}(t) \\ u_{bqp}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_{bh}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{bhh}(t) \\ u_{bqh}(t) \end{bmatrix}. \]

From Eqs. (2.17, 2.18), we have,

\[ y_{v}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{rv}(t) \\ y_{qv}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi_{v} & -\sin \phi_{v} \\ \sin \phi_{v} & \cos \phi_{v} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{bh}(t) \\ u_{bqp}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \] and

\[ y_{h}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{rh}(t) \\ y_{qh}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi_{h} & -\sin \phi_{h} \\ \sin \phi_{h} & \cos \phi_{h} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{bh}(t) \\ u_{bqh}(t) \end{bmatrix}. \]

Thus, in the baseband model, there is a phase rotation between \( u_{bh}(t) \) and \( y_{v}(t) \), \( u_{bh}(t) \) and \( y_{h}(t) \) which can be expressed as a matrix. Therefore, the baseband system model is constructed as that shown in Fig. (2.3) which is used as a foundation of the whole simulation system.
2.3 System Development Tools: MATLAB® and SIMULINK®

MATLAB® is a high-performance language for technical computing [13]. It integrates computation, visualization, and programming in an easy-to-use environment where problems and solutions are expressed in familiar mathematical notation. The Signal Processing Toolbox is a collection of tools built on MATLAB® [14]. This toolbox supports a wide range of signal processing operations, from waveform generation to filter design and implementation, parametric modeling, and spectral analysis [15,16].

SIMULINK® is a software package in MATLAB® for modeling, simulating, and analyzing dynamical systems [10]. It supports linear and nonlinear systems, modeled in continuous time, sampled time, or a hybrid of the two. SIMULINK® is a powerful tool for real-time simulation. The communications Toolbox and DSP Blockset are the most useful tools for simulation of telecommunication systems. The Communications Toolbox is a collection of computation functions and simulation blocks for research, development, system design, analysis, and simulation in the communications area [11]. The DSP Blockset is a collection of block libraries which have been designed specifically for DSP applications, and include operations such as classical, multirate, and adaptive filtering, complex and matrix arithmetic, transcendental and statistical operations, convolution, and Fourier transforms [12].
Chapter 3
Polarization Mode Dispersion

3.1 What is PMD?

3.1.1 Birefringence and PMD

In single-mode fibers there are two different polarization modes, the fast mode and the slow mode, due to birefringence which is caused by internal or external stresses or by non-perfect circularity of the fiber core. Each polarization mode has its own propagation constant $\beta_s$ and $\beta_f$, which are shown in Fig. (3.1). Usually the state of polarization of an arbitrary optical field can be represented by the vector sum of the field components aligned with the two polarization modes.

The difference in propagation constants is called birefringence [3].

$$\Delta \beta = |\beta_s - \beta_f| = \left| \frac{\omega n_s}{c} - \frac{\omega n_f}{c} \right| = \frac{\omega}{c} \Delta n_{\text{eff}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where $\Delta \beta$ is birefringence,

$\beta_s$ is the propagation constant of the slow mode,

$\beta_f$ is the propagation constant of the fast mode,

$\Delta n_{\text{eff}}$ is the differential index of fraction,

$n_s$ is the effective index of refraction of the slow mode,

$n_f$ is the effective index of refraction of the fast mode,

$c$ is the speed of light, $3 \times 10^8$ m/s,
$\omega$ is the angular frequency, and

$|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value of $\cdot$.

The birefringence, $\Delta \beta$, is related to the different group velocity $v_x$,

$$v_x = \left( \frac{d(\Delta \beta)}{d\omega} \right)^{-1},$$

where $d(\cdot)$ denotes the differential of $\cdot$.

The different group velocities of the modes limit the bandwidth of the optical fiber communication system, because they cause pulse broadening. Thus the difference in the group delays of the fast and slow modes is,

$$\Delta \tau = v_x L = \left( \frac{\Delta n_{\text{eff}}}{c} - \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{d\Delta n_{\text{eff}}}{d\omega} \right) L,$$

where $\Delta \tau$ is also called the differential group delay (DGD), and $L$ is the fiber length.

Because of the existence of birefringence, if the input pulse excites both polarization components, it becomes broader at the fiber output since the two components disperse along the fiber because of the DGD, see Fig. (3.1). This phenomenon is called...
Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD). And the delay between the two components is called the DGD.

### 3.1.2 Mode coupling in long fiber

In Eq. (3.2), for a specific $\Delta n_{\text{eff}}$, DGD is proportional to the fiber length, $L$. However, this phenomenon occurs only in short fiber. In long-distance optical fiber transmission systems, there is a polarization mode coupling due to power of one polarization mode leaking into the other mode. The mode coupling is a random process, but the average power will grow with the distance.

A coupling length, $l_c$, is defined to be the length at which the average power in the orthogonal polarization mode, $P_{a}(l_c)$, is within $1/e^2$ of the power in the starting mode, $P_a$ [2.66],

$$\frac{\langle P_a \rangle - \langle P_a(l_c) \rangle}{P_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1}{e^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

where $P_{\text{total}}$ is the total power in the fiber, and $\langle \ast \rangle$ stands for the ensemble average of $\ast$.

The coupling length, $l_c$, can be used to distinguish between a long fiber and a short fiber. When a fiber length is less than $l_c$, it can be considered to be a short-length fiber. In a short-length fiber, the polarization effects are deterministic and PMD grows linearly with the fiber length. When a fiber length is much larger than $l_c$, we can regard it as a long-length fiber. In a long-length fiber, the fiber shows a statistical variation in the polarization due to both PMD and mode coupling. Also, the DGD becomes proportional to the square root of the fiber length [57].
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3.2 Principal States of Polarization

Poole proposed a well known model, "Principal States of Polarization", in 1986 to describe PMD [52]. It revealed that "in any linear optical transmission medium that has no polarization-dependent loss there exist orthogonal input states of polarization for which the corresponding output states of polarization are orthogonal and show no dependence on wavelength to first order". Such states are called principal states of polarization (PSPs).

Under the assumptions of this model, the linear medium can be described by a complex transfer matrix \( T(\omega) \) [67],

\[
T(\omega) = e^{(-\alpha_iL\psi(\omega,L))} \begin{bmatrix}
  u_1(\omega) & u_2(\omega) \\
  -u_2^*(\omega) & -u_1^*(\omega)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) is the fiber loss,
\( \psi(\omega,L) \) is the polarization-independent phase,
\( u_1(\omega) \) and \( u_2(\omega) \) are complex quantities, and they satisfy the relation,

\[
|u_1(\omega)|^2 + |u_2(\omega)|^2 = 1, \quad \text{and}
\]

\( ^* \) denotes complex conjugate of \( * \).

Suppose the input optical field vector is \( \mathbf{E}_i(\omega) \), and the output optical field is \( \mathbf{E}_o(\omega) \). The relationship between these optical fields is,

\[
\mathbf{E}_o(\omega) = T(\omega) \mathbf{E}_i(\omega),
\]

and the optical field \( \mathbf{E}_o(\omega) \) and \( \mathbf{E}_i(\omega) \) can be expressed in the form [2],

\[
\mathbf{E}_o(\omega) = E_o(\omega)e^{j\psi} \bar{E}_o(\omega),
\]
\[ E_b(\omega) = E_a(\omega)e^{j\varphi_a}\bar{E}_b(\omega), \quad (3.8) \]

where \( E_a(\omega) \) is the amplitude of the input PSPs in frequency domain,

\( E_b(\omega) \) is the amplitude of the output PSPs in frequency domain,

\( \varphi_a \) is the phase of the input PSPs in frequency domain,

\( \varphi_b \) is the phase of the output PSPs in frequency domain,

\( \bar{E}_a(\omega) \) is the complex unit vector specifying the input PSPs, and

\( \bar{E}_b(\omega) \) is the complex unit vector specifying the output PSPs.

The Eq. (3.6) is a frequency model used to describe the PSPs. The important contribution of this model is that it points out the property of polarization invariance with frequency for the first order, that an optical pulse aligned with a principal state at the input of a fiber will emerge at the output with its spectral components all having the same state of polarization [52]. This means that the only distortion in the pulse is the phase distortion and it will not change the shape of the pulse.

Because of the existence of PSPs, any input signal can be expressed as the sum of two states. In either of these polarization states there is no pulse shape distortion, but the two states have different time delays. Thus we also describe the model in the time domain as [54],

\[ E_b(t) = c_+ E_a(t - \tau_+)\bar{E}_+ + c_- E_a(t - \tau_-)\bar{E}_-, \quad (3.9) \]

where \( E_b(t) \) is the output PSPs in the time domain,

\( E_a(t) \) are the amplitudes of the time-varying input PSPs in the time domain,

\( c_+, c_- \) are complex coefficients, which are the fraction of the power of the input
signal into each of the PSPs,

\[ \vec{E}_+, \vec{E}_- \] are unit vectors specifying the two output PSPs, and

\[ r_1, r_2 \] are the arrival times in the two polarization states.

The difference of the arrival times is DGD, \( \Delta r = r_2 - r_1 \), which shows two different PSPs cause the pulse broadening at the output of a fiber. Eq. (3.9) describes the first-order effect of PMD in time domain [45], which is shown to induce a dual splitting of the initial pulse. In short fibers, the principal states correspond to the polarization modes of the fiber. In the long fiber spans, these states are determined by the cumulative effects of the birefringence over the entire span [2].

In frequency domain, the polarization mode dispersion is manifested as a frequency dependent state of polarization at the output of a fiber [54, 55]. Over a narrow frequency range, it takes the form of a rotation of the polarization on the Poincaré sphere,

\[
\frac{d\hat{s}}{d\omega} = \Omega \times \hat{s},
\]

(3.10)

where \( \hat{s} \) is the three-component unit Stokes vector, representing the states of polarization of the output on the Poincaré sphere,

\( \Omega \) indicates the rate and direction of rotation, and is usually referred to as dispersion vector, and \( \times \) denotes the multiplication of \( \cdot \) and \( \diamond \).

The relationship between the frequency domain and the time domain behaviors is that the rate of rotation, \(|\Omega|\), is equal to the differential group delay time experienced by spectrally narrow pulses having polarization aligned at the input with two orthogonal PSPs [55].
\[ |\Omega| = \Delta \tau. \] (3.11)

Using the theory of PSPs, the statistical properties of DGD were studied. The PDF (Probability Density Function) of DGD, \( p(\Delta \tau) \), has been proven to be a Maxwellian distribution [58],

\[
p(\Delta \tau) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\Delta \tau^2}{\alpha^3} e^{-\frac{\Delta \tau^2}{2\alpha^2}} & \Delta \tau \geq 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\] (3.12)

where \( \alpha \) is the average differential group delay time,

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \Delta \tau_{\text{rms}}, \quad \text{and}
\] (3.13)

\( \Delta \tau_{\text{rms}} \) is the rms value of the DGD.

\[
\Delta \tau_{\text{rms}} = \langle (\Delta \tau)^2 \rangle = \left\langle \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 \right\rangle.
\] (3.14)

where \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) and \( \Omega_3 \) are dispersion vectors which have independent Gaussian distributions with zero mean and identical variance [56]. Therefore, to generate a Maxwellian distributed variable, first we should generate three independent Gaussian random variables, then take the square root of sum of three squared Gaussian random variables.

![Figure 3.2 PDF of \( \Delta \tau \)](image)
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Fig. (3.2) shows a probability distribution of the random Maxwellian distributed DGDs in every segment of a fiber whose average DGD through the whole fiber is 100 ps, and the number of segments, $K$, is 100. Thus, the average DGD in each segment, $\langle \tau \rangle$ is,

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{K}} = 10 \text{ ps} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

### 3.3 Waveplate Model

The waveplate model is a practical and effective approach to measure and simulate the first-order PMD in a long single mode fiber and was discussed in papers [57,59]. According to the theory of PSPs, a fiber which is polarization loss independent, excited by one of its PSPs defined at the optical angular frequency $\omega$, behaves as a simple delay. Thus if we suppose the input field is an input reference frame which consists of two orthogonal input PSPs, and the output field is an output reference frame which consists of two orthogonal output PSPs, then the phase shift of a fiber can be described by a Jones matrix, $M_k(\omega)$ which we call the "DGD generator",

$$M_k(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\omega \tau_k} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\omega \tau_k} \end{bmatrix} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

where $\tau_k$ is the differential time delay of one segment, and $K$ is the number of fiber segments.

Considering the existence of mode coupling which causes random rotations, we should add a phase rotator and phase retarder. Thus each segment of a single mode fiber can be simulated as a combination of a DGD generator $M_k(\omega)$, a phase rotator $R_k$ and phase retarder $D_k$ which is shown in Fig (3.3),
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\[ N_k(\omega) = D_k R_k M_k(\omega) \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K, \tag{3.17} \]

\[ D_k = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\varphi_k} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi_k} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.18} \]

\[ R_k = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_k & \sin \theta_k \\ -\sin \theta_k & \cos \theta_k \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.19} \]

where \( N_k(\omega) \) is the transfer matrix of the segment \( k \) in a single mode fiber, and \( \theta_k \) and \( \varphi_k \) are independent uniformly distributed random variables, distributed from \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\).

![Figure 3.3 Waveplate Model](image)

The overall transfer matrix, \( H(\omega) \), is the concatenation of all the segments shown in Fig. (3.3),

\[ H(\omega) = e^{\beta(\omega)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} N_k(\omega), \tag{3.20} \]

where \( \beta(\omega) \) is determined by the polarization-independent phase shift and the fiber loss.
3.4 Computer Simulation of PMD

Based on the waveplate model, a computer simulation is used to get both frequency responses and impulse responses.

The waveplate model provides the frequency transfer response $H(\omega)$ with central frequency, $f_c = c/\lambda_c$, where the central wavelength, $\lambda_c$, is 1.55 $\mu$m. In the digital simulation performed in this thesis, to balance the computing speed and the accuracy, we used 128 frequency points in the frequency domain, which means the sampling frequency, $f_s$, is $128 \times B$, where $B$ is the signal bit rate. Thus a passband frequency is in the range from $f_c - f_s/2$ to $f_c + f_s/2$.

In this simulation, the bit rate, $B$, is 2.5 GHz, the DGD is 100 ps, and $K$ is 100 segments. Fig. (3.4) shows the magnitudes of the frequency responses, $H(\omega)$, and Fig. (3.5) shows the phases of these frequency responses.

Our objective is to get the baseband impulse responses of the optical fiber channel with PMD. To achieve this objective, the passband frequency responses should be shifted to baseband. Then the baseband impulse responses can be obtained from,

$$h_b(t) = \text{DFT}^{-1}[H(\omega - \omega_0)],$$

(3.21)

where $h_b(t)$ is the complex baseband impulse response,

DFT$^{-1}$ denotes inverse discrete Fourier transformation, and

$\omega_0$ is the central angular frequency.

Thus we can get four complex baseband impulse responses, $h_{bbb}(t)$, $h_{bhh}(t)$, $h_{bvh}(t)$ and $h_{vhh}(t)$. Fig. (3.6) shows the magnitudes of impulse responses, and Fig. (3.7) shows phases of impulse responses.
Figure 3.4 Magnitudes of Frequency Responses

Figure 3.5 Phases of Frequency Responses
Figure 3.6 Magnitudes of Impulse Responses

Figure 3.7 Phases of Impulse Responses
Fig. (3.8) shows the interferometric measurement of PMD ($\lambda = 1.3$ $\mu$m) of a 10 ps emulator in a IM-DD (Intensity Modulation with Direct Detection) transmission system [68]. Although it is a different type of transmission system, wavelength, and value of PMD (10 ps or 100 ps), there is a qualitative agreement between the measurement and the simulation.

3.5 Channel Simulation using MATLAB\textsuperscript{c} and SIMULINK\textsuperscript{c}

Based on the waveplate model and the baseband impulse responses, the optical fiber channel simulation is constructed in Fig. (3.9). It is just the implementation of the fiber model in Fig. (2.3).

In Fig. (3.9), $V_{in}$ and $H_{in}$ represent the inputs to the vertical and horizontal channels, and $V_{out}$ and $H_{out}$ represent the outputs of the vertical and horizontal channels. The impulse responses are complex, and the implementation of these impulse responses is shown in Fig. (3.10). A complex impulse response is comprised of four real impulse responses. Convolution of the input signals in Fig. (3.10) with these real impulse responses is realized by calling the MATLAB\textsuperscript{c} function, \texttt{filter()}, as in

$$y(t) = \texttt{filter}(h_{y}(t), x(t)), \quad (3.22)$$

where $y(t)$ is the output of fiber channel,

$h_{y}(t)$ is the real or imaginary part of baseband channel impulse response, which
can be one of \( h_{\text{em}}(t), h_{\text{ex}}(t), h_{\text{ev}}(t), h_{\text{eh}}(t), h_{\text{hv}}(t), h_{\text{je}}(t), h_{\text{he}}(t), h_{\text{he}}(t), h_{\text{hh}}(t) \) or \( h_{\text{eh}}(t) \).

\( x(t) \) is the input signal vector, and

\( \text{filter()} \) is a MATLAB\textsuperscript{c} function.

![Figure 3.9 Optical Fiber Channel Simulation](image)

Figure 3.9 Optical Fiber Channel Simulation

In Fig. (3.10), S-Function, a SIMULINK\textsuperscript{c} block, is used to call a MATLAB\textsuperscript{c} function. The purpose of the "Buffer" and "Unbuffer" block is to convert scalars and vectors.

![Figure 3.10 Simulation of Baseband Impulse Responses](image)

Figure 3.10 Simulation of Baseband Impulse Responses
Chapter 4

Adaptive Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers

4.1 Adaptive Channel Equalization Technique

4.1.1 Fundamentals of Equalization

The transmission of digital data through a linear communication channel usually suffers deterioration due to two major limits, ISI and additive thermal noise. ISI is caused by multipath in a bandlimited time dispersion channel which distorts the transmitted signal, causing bit errors at the receiver. In an optical fiber, the factors that can cause ISI are inter-mode dispersion, chromatic dispersion, and PMD.

Equalization is a technique to overcome ISI. Since the fading channel is usually random and time varying, equalizers must track the time varying characteristics of the fading channel, and this kind of equalizer is called adaptive equalizer which provides precise control over the time response of the channel.

Fig. (4.1) shows a communication system with an adaptive equalizer \( c(t) \) [5]. \( x(t) \) is the data input, and \( f_o(t) \) is the overall complex baseband impulse response of the transmitter, channel and matched filter. The signal received by the equalizer is,

\[
y(t) = x(t) \ast f_o(t) + v(t), \tag{4.1}
\]

where \( v(t) \) is the baseband Gaussian noise signal.

If the impulse response of equalizer is \( c(t) \), then the output of the equalizer is
\[ y_{eq}(t), \]

\[ y_{eq}(t) = y(t) * c(t) \]
\[ = x(t) * f_a(t) * c(t) + v(t) * c(t) \]
\[ = x(t) * g_a(t) + v(t) * c(t), \]  

(4.2)

where \( g_a(t) \) is the combined impulse response of the transmitter, channel, matched filter

and equalizer,

\[ g_a(t) = f_a(t) * c(t). \]  

(4.3)

The complex impulse response of equalizer \( c(t) \) can be expressed as a transversal FIR filter with coefficients \( c_n \)

\[ c(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} c_n \delta(t - nT). \]  

(4.4)

where \( N \) is the number of filter taps.

Ideally, the desired output of equalizer, \( y_{eq}(t) \), should be equal to the original input \( x(t) \). If \( v(t) \) is equal to zero, then to force \( y_{eq}(t) \) equal to \( x(t) \), Eq. (4.3) is used to get

\[ g_a(t) = f_a(t) * c(t) = \delta(t). \]  

(4.5)

In frequency domain, Eq. (4.5) can be expressed as,
\[ F_c(f)C(f) = 1, \]

where \( F_c(f) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f_c(t) \), and \( C(f) \) is the Fourier transform of \( c(t) \).

The result we get in Eq. (4.6) shows that when the baseband noise \( v(t) \) is ignored, the equalizer filter \( C(f) \) actually is an inverse filter of \( F_c(f) \) which is the frequency response of the combination of fiber channel, transmitter and matched filter.

4.1.2 Adaptive Equalization

The characteristic of the time varying optical fiber channel requires the use of an adaptive equalizer. An adaptive equalizer needs the knowledge of the desired input so as to compare it with the output of the equalizer to get the error signal which is used in the adaptive algorithm. However, because of the different locations of transmitter and receiver, it is difficult to get the original data input to the transmitter in the receiver. There are two methods which can generate a "desired" signal as that in the transmitter [7].

1. The first method is called training. In this method, before data transmission, a sequence of PN (pseudonoise) signals are sent from transmitter as test signals. In the receiver, this PN signal is pre-stored and it is the same as that in the transmitter. The PN signal is called a training sequence, and the time to transmit the training sequence is called the training period. In the training period, the adaptive equalizer can adjust its filter coefficients and reaches a steady state where the smallest error is achieved. After the training period, the filter coefficients are fixed. Sometimes, the training sequence will be resent periodically to allow the adaptive equalizer to adapt to the time-varying channel. In Fig. (4.1), when the switch is switched to "1", it means the receiver is using the
training sequence, $x_i(t)$.

2. The second method is called decision-directed. Usually this method is used along with the training method. In the training method, the equalizer is adapted using a training sequence. When the training period is over, the equalizer will be adapted using the decision-directed method at the receiver. After the training period, the error and ISI are very small. Therefore, the decisions made by the receiver are correct enough to be used as a replica of the desired signal. This means that the adaptive equalizer is able to improve the tap weight settings by virtue of the correlation procedure built into its feedback control loop. In Fig. (4.1), after the training period, the switch is then switched to "2" from "1", and $x_2(t)$ is used to estimate the error $e(t)$, which will be used to update the tap weights.

4.1.3 Adaptive Equalization Categorization and Algorithm

The general categorization of adaptive equalization techniques according to the types, structures and algorithms is shown in Fig. (4.2) [5].

![Categorization of Adaptive Equalization](image.png)

**Figure 4.2** Categorization of Adaptive Equalization [5]
Equalizers can be divided into two general categories, linear and nonlinear equalizers. In Fig. (4.1), there is no feedback from the output of receiver, $z(t)$, thus it is a linear equalizer. On the other hand, if $z(t)$ is fed back in order to control the equalizer, the equalizer is nonlinear.

In this thesis, a discrete LTE (Linear Transversal Equalizer) structure is used which is shown in Fig. (4.3). A discrete LTE is made up of $K$ tapped delay lines which have a symbol period $T_s$, and the number of taps is $K$. In Fig. (4.3), the output of the LTE, $y(n)$ is,

$$ y(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c'_k(n)u(n-k), \tag{4.7} $$

where $n$ is time index,

$u(n)$ is the baseband input signal, and

$c'_k(n)$ are the complex coefficients.

![Figure 4.3 Linear LMS Transversal Equalizer](image)
Classic adaptive equalizer algorithms include ZF (Zero Forcing), LMS (Least Mean Squares) and RLS (Recursive Least Squares). In this thesis, four linear LMS transversal adaptive filters are used as channel equalizers and CPI cancellers. The complex normalized LMS algorithm is described mathematically as [7,12],

\[
\begin{align*}
    y(n) &= e^{uu}(n)u(n), \\
    e(n) &= d(n) - y(n), \\
    c(n+1) &= c(n) + \frac{\mu}{a + uu(n)u(n)} u(n)e'(n),
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.8)

where \( uu \) denotes Hermitian transpose of \( u \).

\( u(n) \) is the \( K \) dimensional input signal vector at step \( n \).

\[
    u(n) = [u(n) \ u(n-1) \ ... \ u(n-K+1)]^T.
\]  

(4.9)

\( c(n) \) is the \( K \) dimensional vector of estimated of the equalizer tap weights at step \( n \).

\[
    c(n) = [c_o(n) \ c_1(n) \ ... \ c_{K-1}(n)]^T,
\]  

(4.10)

d\( (n) \) is the "desired" signal,

\( y(n) \) is the output of adaptive equalizer,

\( e(n) \) is the estimated error,

\( \mu \) is the adaptation constant, sometimes it is called step-size, and

\( a \) is a small positive constant (\( e^{-10} \)) used to overcome the potential numerical instability in the tap weight update.
4.2 Channel Equalization and CPI Cancellation

The existence of PMD in an optical fiber introduces CPI between the dually polarized signals and ISI. This chapter contributes to realize CPI cancellation as well as ISI equalization.

Fig. (4.4) shows the discrete baseband structure of the channel equalizers and CPI cancellers, where $e_v(n)$ and $e_h(n)$ are the channel equalizers and we call $e_v(n)$ and $e_h(n)$ are the CPI cancellers.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4.4 Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers**

The complex inputs to the vertical and horizontal polarization channels are $x_v(n)$ and $x_h(n)$, and the outputs of channels are $u_v(n)$ and $u_h(n)$. Because this is a baseband model, the IF (Intermediate Frequency) stage and the modulator/demodulator are not shown. Thus the channel is followed by channel equalizers and CPI cancellers whose outputs are $y_v(n)$ and $y_h(n)$. We can express the relationship between these signals in matrix form,
\[ u(n) = h(n) \ast x(n) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{vv}(n) & h_{vh}(n) \\ h_{hv}(n) & h_{hh}(n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_v(n) \\ x_h(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_v(n) \\ u_h(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.11) \]

\[ y(n) = c^H(n)u(n) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{vv}^H(n) & c_{vh}^H(n) \\ c_{hv}^H(n) & c_{hh}^H(n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_v(n) \\ u_h(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_v(n) \\ y_h(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.12) \]

where \( y(n) \) is the output signal vector,

\( c(n) \) is the matrix of adaptive channel equalizers and CPI cancellers,

\[ c_v(n) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{v0}(n) & c_{v1}(n) & \ldots & c_{v(K-1)}(n) \end{bmatrix}^T, \]

\[ c_h(n) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{h0}(n) & c_{h1}(n) & \ldots & c_{h(K-1)}(n) \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad (4.13) \]

\[ u(n) \] is the fiber channel output at step \( n \),

\[ u(n) = \begin{bmatrix} u_v(n) \\ u_h(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.14) \]

\( u(n) \) is the input signal vector to the equalizer,

\[ u(n) = \begin{bmatrix} u_v(n) \\ u_h(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.15) \]

\( u_v(n) \) and \( u_h(n) \) are the input signal vectors in vertical and horizontal channels to the equalizer,

\[ u_v(n) = \begin{bmatrix} u_v(n) & u_v(n-1) & \ldots & u_v(n-K+1) \end{bmatrix}^T, \]

\[ u_h(n) = \begin{bmatrix} u_h(n) & u_h(n-1) & \ldots & u_h(n-K+1) \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad (4.16) \]

\( \ast^T \) stands for the matrix transpose of \( \ast \).
\( \mathbf{x}(n) \) is the desired signal vector,

\[
\mathbf{x}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x_r(n) \\ x_h(n) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}
\]

\( \mathbf{h}(n) \) is the matrix of the complex baseband channel impulse responses, see Eq. (2.21).

The error, \( \mathbf{e}(n) \), is the difference between the desired response \( \mathbf{x}(n) \) and output of the cancellers and equalizers, \( \mathbf{y}(n) \),

\[
\mathbf{e}(n) = \mathbf{x}(n) - \mathbf{y}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x_r(n) - y_r(n) \\ x_h(n) - y_h(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_r(n) \\ e_h(n) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Next is defined the MSE (mean-squared error), \( \mathbf{J}(n) \),

\[
\mathbf{J}(n) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{e}(n)\mathbf{e}^*(n)) = \mathbb{E}(|\mathbf{e}(n)|^2)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}(e_r(n)e_r^*(n)) \\ \mathbb{E}(e_h(n)e_h^*(n)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_r(n) \\ J_h(n) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

where \( \mathbb{E}(\cdot) \) denotes mathematical expectation of \( \cdot \).

![Figure 4.5 Vertical Channel Equalizer and CPI Canceller](image-url)
To simplify the analysis, consider only the vertical polarization channel equalizer $c_{rr}(n)$ and the CPI canceller $c_{vh}(n)$ from the horizontal to the vertical channel, as shown in Fig. (4.5).

Suppose the complex filter tap weight vector for channel equalizer $c_{rr}(n)$, is,

$$c_{rr}(n) = a_{rr}(n) + j b_{rr}(n), \quad (4.20)$$

and for CPI canceller $c_{vh}(n)$, it is,

$$c_{vh}(n) = a_{vh}(n) + j b_{vh}(n), \quad (4.21)$$

where $a(n)$ is the real part and $b(n)$ is the imaginary part of tap weight $c(n)$. The gradient of $J_{s}(n)$ with respect to $c_{rr}(n)$ and $c_{vh}(n)$ is [7],

$$\nabla_{rr}(J_{s}(n)) = \frac{dJ_{s}(n)}{da_{rr}(n)} + j \frac{dJ_{s}(n)}{db_{rr}(n)}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\nabla_{vh}(J_{s}(n)) = \frac{dJ_{s}(n)}{da_{vh}(n)} + j \frac{dJ_{s}(n)}{db_{vh}(n)}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\nabla_{rr}(J_{s}(n))$ is the gradient of $J_{s}(n)$ to $c_{rr}(n)$, and $\nabla_{vh}(J_{s}(n))$ is the gradient of $J_{s}(n)$ to $c_{vh}(n)$.

Substituting the $J_{s}(n)$ of Eq. (4.19) in Eq. (4.22),

$$\nabla_{rr}(J_{s}(n)) = E\left[e_{s}'(n) \frac{de_{s}(n)}{da_{rr}(n)} + e_{s}(n) \frac{de_{s}(n)}{da_{rr}(n)} + je_{s}'(n) \frac{de_{s}(n)}{db_{rr}(n)} + je_{s}(n) \frac{de_{s}(n)}{db_{rr}(n)}\right], \quad (4.24)$$

According to Eqs. (4.12, 4.18, 4.20) [7].
\[
\frac{de_v(n)}{da_v(n)} = -\frac{dy_v(n)}{da_v(n)} = -u_v(n),
\]
\[
\frac{de_v(n)}{db_v(n)} = -\frac{dy_v(n)}{db_v(n)} = j u_v(n),
\]
\[
\frac{de'_v(n)}{da_v(n)} = -\frac{dy'_v(n)}{da_v(n)} = -u'_v(n),
\]
\[
\frac{de'_v(n)}{db_v(n)} = -\frac{dy'_v(n)}{db_v(n)} = -j u'_v(n).
\]

Therefore, the final result will be.

\[
\nabla_{rv}(J_v(n)) = -2E[u_v(n)e'_v(n)].
\]

(4.26)

Same as the \( \nabla_{rv}(J_v(n)) \), \( \nabla_{rh}(J_v(n)) \) is.

\[
\nabla_{rh}(J_v(n)) = -2E[u_h(n)e'_h(n)].
\]

(4.27)

To study the channel equalizer \( c_v(n) \), expand Eq. (4.22), giving,

\[
\nabla_{rv}(J_v(n)) = -2E[u_v(n)e'_v(n)] \\
= -2E[u_v(n)(x'_v(n) - c^t_v(n)u'_v(n) - c'_v(n)u'_v(n))]
\]
\[
= -2P_v(n) + 2c_v(n)R_v(n) + 2c_v(n)Q_v(n),
\]

(4.28)

where \( P_v(n) \) is the cross-correlation vector between the input vector to the equalizer \( u_v(n) \)

and the desired response \( x_v(n) \),

\[
P_v(n) = E[u_v(n)x'_v(n)],
\]

(4.29)

\( R_v(n) \) is the auto-correlation matrix of the input vector to the equalizer \( u_v(n) \)

\[
R_v(n) = E[u_v(n)u_v^H(n)],
\]

(4.30)
\( Q_{vn}(n) \) is the cross-correlation vector between the input vector to the equalizer \( u_v(n) \) in the vertical polarization channel and the input vector in the vertical polarization channel \( u_n(n) \),

\[
Q_{vn}(n) = E[u_v(n)u''_n(n)]. \tag{4.31}
\]

Similar to above, \( \nabla_{vh}(J_v(n)) \) can be expanded to get,

\[
\nabla_{vh}(J_v(n)) = -2P_{vn}(n) + 2Q_{vn}(n)c_{vn}(n) + 2R_{vn}(n)c_{vn}(n), \tag{4.32}
\]

and,

\[
P_{vn}(n) = E[u_v(n)c_v'(n)], \tag{4.33}
\]
\[
R_{vn}(n) = E[u_n(n)u''_n(n)], \quad \text{and} \tag{4.34}
\]
\[
Q_{vn}(n) = E[u_v(n)u''_n(n)]. \tag{4.35}
\]

According to the method of steepest descent, the updated value of the tap-weight vectors for equalizer \( c_{vn}(n) \) and canceller \( c_{vh}(n) \) are,

\[
c_{vn}(n+1) = c_{vn}(n) - \frac{1}{2} \mu \nabla_{vn}(J_v(n)), \tag{4.36}
\]

and

\[
c_{vh}(n+1) = c_{vh}(n) - \frac{1}{2} \mu \nabla_{vh}(J_v(n)), \tag{4.37}
\]

where \( \mu \) is called step size, an adaption constant which controls the size of the incremental correction applied to the tap-weight vector [7]. Substituting in \( \nabla_{vn}(J_v(n)) \) and \( \nabla_{vh}(J_v(n)) \) from Eqs. (4.28, 4.32).
\[ c_v(n+1) = c_v(n) + \mu \left[ p_v(n) - r_v(n)c_v(n) - q_v(n)c_h(n) \right], \quad (4.38) \]

\[ c_h(n+1) = c_h(n) + \mu \left[ p_h(n) - r_h(n)c_v(n) - q_h(n)c_h(n) \right]. \quad (4.39) \]

In Eqs. (4.38,4.39), the adaptive tap-weights for channel equalizer \( c_v(n) \) and CPI canceller \( c_h(n) \) are established. In reality, however, this method will not work because the exact measurement of the gradient vector \( \nabla_v(J_v(n)) \) and \( \nabla_h(J_h(n)) \) is impossible since it requires the correlation matrix \( R(n) \) and cross-correlation matrices \( P(n) \) and \( Q(n) \). Therefore, \( \nabla_v(J_v(n)) \) and \( \nabla_h(J_h(n)) \) must be estimated from the available data. This idea is realized in the LMS algorithm.

In the LMS algorithm, the instantaneous estimates are used instead of the correlation matrix \( R(n) \) and cross-correlation matrices \( P(n) \) and \( Q(n) \). And for channel equalizer \( c_v(n) \), they are defined by, respectively,

\[ \hat{P}_v(n) = u_v(n)x_v'(n), \quad (4.40) \]

\[ \hat{R}_v(n) = u_v(n)u_v'(n), \quad (4.41) \]

\[ \hat{Q}_v(n) = u_v(n)u_h'(n). \quad (4.42) \]

The corresponding instantaneous estimate of the gradient vector is then,

\[ \hat{\nabla}_v(J_v(n)) = -2u_v(n)x_v'(n) + 2u_v(n)u_v''(n)\hat{c}_v + 2u_v(n)u_h''(n)\hat{c}_h, \quad (4.43) \]

where \( \hat{c}_v(n) \) is the estimated tap-weight of channel equalizer \( c_v(n) \), and \( \hat{c}_h(n) \) is the estimated tap-weight of CPI canceller \( c_h(n) \).
Substituting the estimated gradient vector \( \hat{\nabla}_w(J_v(n)) \) in Eq. (4.43) for the \( \nabla_v(J_v(n)) \) in Eq. (4.36), gives the adaptive tap-weight in the LMS algorithm,

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \mu u_w(n) [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.44}
\]

and similar to Eq. (4.43),

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \mu u_w(n) [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.45}
\]

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \mu u_w(n) [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.46}
\]

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \mu u_w(n) [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)]. \tag{4.47}
\]

When the input \( u(n) \) is large, the LMS algorithm experiences a gradient noise amplification problem [7]. Therefore, the normalized algorithm is used to overcome this problem. The normalized algorithms of Eqs. (4.41 - 4.44) are,

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \frac{\mu u_w(n)}{a + u_w^H(n)u_w(n)} [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.48}
\]

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \frac{\mu u_w(n)}{a + u_w^H(n)u_w(n)} [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.49}
\]

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \frac{\mu u_w(n)}{a + u_w^H(n)u_w(n)} [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)], \tag{4.50}
\]

\[
\hat{c}_w(n+1) = \hat{c}_w(n) + \frac{\mu u_w(n)}{a + u_w^H(n)u_w(n)} [x_v(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n) - u_w^H(n)\hat{c}_w(n)]. \tag{4.51}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the step size, \( 0 < \mu < 2 \),

\( a \) is a small positive constant.
4.3 Simulation of Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers

4.3.1 Simulation Structure of Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers

Based on the Eqs. (4.48-4.51), the Normalized LMS channel equalizers or CPI cancellers can be constructed using SIMULINK® as shown in Fig. (4.6).

Fig. (4.6) shows the model of the normalized LMS filter. In this model, the first input is \( u(n) \), the second input is error \( e(n) \) and the output is \( y(n) \). The buffer is used to generate input signal vector \( u(n) \).

![Figure 4.6 LMS Adaptive Filter](image_url)

The overall adaptive equalization and cancellation system is shown in Fig. (4.7). Complex delays, \( z^{-31} \) and \( z^{-64} \), are used to synchronize the output of the equalizer and the desired input. The factors that cause the delay include the transmitter filter, receiver filter and channel delay. So the desired input from the information generator has to be delayed by the same time. The input signals are sampled at the rate \( f_s = B \) which is 128 times lower than the channel sampling rate before they go into the equalizer. Two switches are used to select the training or decision-directed method. At the beginning of this simulation, the switches are set to the training method. In every bit period, the tap-weights are adjusted to minimize the errors. After the MSE reaches the steady state,
switches are then set to decision-directed method where output signals of the decision
circuits are used as the desired input.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4.7 Adaptive Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers**

### 4.3.2 Performance of Channel Equalizers and CPI Cancellers

From Eqs. (4.48-4.51), we can see that the value of step-size $\mu$ is very important. Fig. (4.8) shows three leaning curves with errors (dB) on the ordinates, when $\mu$ is 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 respectively. By comparing these three cases, it is clear we have to tradeoff the training time versus the residual error. When $\mu$ is 0.2, the error is the smallest, but it takes longer time to train. When $\mu$ is 0.6, the equalizer can reach the steady state quickly, but the error is the largest. Thus we choose $\mu$ equal to 0.4, which is the best compromise.

Also, the number of taps in the equalizer and canceller is the factor that affects the performance and accuracy of the receiver. In the simulation system, we choose 8 taps for
equalizers and cancellers rather than 16 taps, because when we use 8 taps, the filter takes less time to reach steady state and has smaller variance. The errors (dB) of the equalizer and canceller when number of filter number of taps is 8 and 16 are shown in Figs. (4.9a,4.9b).
Chapter 5
System Performance

5.1 Eye Pattern

The eye pattern is a convenient way to see the distortion on a channel, which is usually obtained by displaying the data pulse stream on an oscilloscope. A two level signal after an RC filter with filter rolloff factor of 0.8 in the transmitter is shown in Fig. (5.1). In this eye pattern, one symbol interval is displayed, and the central vertical line indicates the decision point where one can get the lowest error and best BER. Thus sampling should occur at this point where eye is open the widest. If all of the lines in the traces go through the desired pulse amplitude at the decision point, then the eye is said to be fully open, such as the one in Fig (5.1).

![Figure 5.1 Fully Open Eye Pattern](image)

Through the eye pattern, we can roughly measure the performance of the received signal in the receiver, which suffers from the PMD and noise. When the input SNR is 30 dB, the eye pattern in the receiver is obtained as shown in Fig (5.2). By comparing these two figures, it is clear that the width of eye pattern d2 in Fig. (5.2) is smaller than d1, which indicates the latter traces deviate from the completely open eye pattern.

Fig. (5.3) is the eye pattern when input SNR is 15 dB. The width of eye pattern d3 is
much smaller than \( d_2 \), so the error probability is larger, because there is a smaller space to distinguish between the two levels.

**Figure 5.2 Eye Pattern**
(SNR=30)

**Figure 5.3 Eye Pattern**
(SNR=15)

### 5.2 Bit Error Rate Evaluation

The eye pattern is only a rough method to measure the error. To analyze accurately the performance of a telecommunication system, the bit error rate (BER) must be known.

The simplest way to measure BER is error counting, or counting the number of error bits in a period. This is simple, but it may not be accurate. Also because the BER in a optical fiber communication system is very low, usually lower than \( 10^{-9} \), it requires a rather long time to get a satisfactory result by simulation.

An alternative way to measure BER is by calculation. Consider the case in which the transmitted signal over the vertical or horizontal channel is \( s(t) \),

\[
s(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{E} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5.1}
\]
where $E$ is the input signal power. The corresponding received signal in receiver, $r(t)$, is

$$r(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{E} + n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the error signals with zero mean and the same variance, $\sigma^2$,

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$ are the variances of $n_1$ and $n_2$, respectively. This distortion in the detected bit is due to ISI, CPI and noise. To simplify the calculations, the distortion is treated as Gaussian noise. For high signal-to-noise ratios, this assumption will produce an acceptable estimate of the BER.

Thus the symbol error probability for 4-level QAM signal is [8], $P_s$,

$$P_s = 1 - \left[ 1 - Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{E}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \right]^2, \quad (5.4)$$

where $Q(\cdot)$ stands for $Q$-function, which is defined as,

$$Q(z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy. \quad (5.5)$$

Suppose, the received SNR, $\gamma$, is,

$$\gamma = \frac{E}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (5.6)$$

then the symbol error probability in Eq. (5.4) is,

$$P_s = 1 - \left[ 1 - Q \left( \sqrt{\gamma} \right) \right]^2. \quad (5.7)$$

The relationship between bit error rate, $P_b$, and $P_s$ is,

$$1 - \left( 1 - P_s \right)^2 = P_b. \quad (5.8)$$
Thus, we have,

\[ P_b = Q(\sqrt{\gamma}). \]  

(5.9)

The relationship between the received SNR, \( \gamma \), and minimum MSE, \( J_{mn} \), is [8],

\[ \gamma = \frac{1 - J_{mn}}{J_{mn}} \approx \frac{1}{J_{mn}}, \]  

(5.10)

Thus, BER can be obtained by measuring the \( J_{mn} \),

\[ P_b = Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{J_{mn}}}\right). \]  

(5.11)

Therefore, it is possible to measure the minimum received MSE \( J_{mn} \) when the channel equalizers and CPI cancellers reach the steady state, and to get the BER according to Eq. (5.11).

In this simulation system, the channel and receiver thermal noises are treated as Gaussian noises, the input signal power is 0 dB. The signal bit rate is 2.5 GHz. The system SNR, \( \gamma_s \), is from 4 to 32 dB, where,

\[ \gamma = \frac{E}{2\sigma_i^2}, \text{ and} \]  

(5.12)

\( \sigma_i^2 \) is the variance of channel Gaussian noise. The DGD is 10 ps, 100 ps and 500 ps. separately. The number of the adaptive filter taps is 8, and step-size, \( \mu \), is 0.4. The training time is longer than \( 3 \times 10^8 \) sec for 10-ps PMD, \( 5 \times 10^8 \) sec for 100-ps PMD and \( 10 \times 10^8 \) sec for 500-ps PMD. We measure the \( J_{mn} \) four times (No. 1 to No. 4) for every \( \gamma_s \). Each time, the \( J_{mn} \) in vertical in-phase signal, vertical quadrature signal, horizontal in-phase and horizontal quadrature signal are obtained. The experimental results of \( J_{mn} \) are listed in Tables (5.1, 5.2, 5.3).
### Table 5.1 $J_{\min}$ (dB) when DGD is 10 ps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma_s$ (dB)</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$J_{\min}$ (dB) (DGD = 10 ps)</th>
<th>Avg. $J_{\min}$ (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical In-phase</td>
<td>Vertical Quadrature</td>
<td>Horizontal In-phase</td>
<td>Horizontal Quadrature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.78</td>
<td>-7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-24.1</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_s$ (dB)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>-31.5</td>
<td>-32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-27.4</td>
<td>-27.6</td>
<td>-33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
<td>-30.8</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2 $J_{\text{min}}$ (dB) when DGD is 100 ps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma_s$ (dB)</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$J_{\text{min}}$ (dB) (DGD = 100 ps)</th>
<th>Avg. $J_{\text{min}}$ (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical In-phase</td>
<td>Vertical Quadrature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-9.57</td>
<td>-8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.46</td>
<td>-6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-8.27</td>
<td>-7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.27</td>
<td>-7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>-9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-8.41</td>
<td>-7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.89</td>
<td>-7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>-9.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
<td>-9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-19.3</th>
<th>-14.6</th>
<th>-17.7</th>
<th>-15.1</th>
<th>-16.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>-12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-19.8</th>
<th>-15.1</th>
<th>-15.6</th>
<th>-18.5</th>
<th>-17.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>-19.9</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-25.1</th>
<th>-19.6</th>
<th>-25.1</th>
<th>-19.6</th>
<th>-22.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-28.2</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>-21.2</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-29.8</th>
<th>-24.3</th>
<th>-27.6</th>
<th>-25.1</th>
<th>-26.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
<td>-31.6</td>
<td>-30.6</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>-28.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-28.5</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>-26.8</td>
<td>-31.9</td>
<td>-28.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.3** $J_{mn}$ (dB) when DGD is 500 ps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma_s$ (dB)</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$J_{mn}$ (dB)</th>
<th>Avg. $J_{mn}$ (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical In-phase</td>
<td>Vertical Quadrature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.16</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.16</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>-4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
<td>-4.28</td>
<td>-4.31</td>
<td>-4.30</td>
<td>-4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-7.07</td>
<td>-7.20</td>
<td>-5.68</td>
<td>-5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-5.66</td>
<td>-5.73</td>
<td>-7.01</td>
<td>-7.08</td>
<td>-6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-5.60</td>
<td>-6.14</td>
<td>-7.05</td>
<td>-7.56</td>
<td>-6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.33</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>-4.36</td>
<td>-4.31</td>
<td>-4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.75</td>
<td>-6.88</td>
<td>-7.96</td>
<td>-7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>-8.74</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
<td>-9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-6.05</td>
<td>-6.31</td>
<td>-7.32</td>
<td>-6.98</td>
<td>-6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-7.29</td>
<td>-7.67</td>
<td>-7.77</td>
<td>-7.38</td>
<td>-7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-8.80</td>
<td>-9.76</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
<td>-9.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-9.24</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>-10.9</td>
<td>-9.60</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-8.09</td>
<td>-8.39</td>
<td>-8.21</td>
<td>-8.56</td>
<td>-8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>-12.2</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-9.74</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-9.93</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>-12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td>-18.0</td>
<td>-18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-19.3</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>-20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above tables and Eq. (5.11), the BER plot is shown in Fig. (5.4). This figure shows the BER when DGD is 10, 100 and 500 ps. From the BER plot, to make the BER smaller than $10^{-9}$, the system SNR, $\gamma_s$, should be larger than 17 dB when DGD is 10 ps, larger than 21 dB when DGD is 100 ps, and larger than 27 dB when DGD is 500 ps. Also the BER plot shows the trends of BER for these three cases. With the increase of $\gamma_s$, the plot of BER when DGD is 10 and 100 ps decrease steeply, however, when DGD is 500 ps, it decreases slowly.

![Figure 5.4 BER Plot](image-url)
Chapter 6

Bidirectional Transmission System

6.1 Bidirectional Transmission System

Until now, what was discussed is an unidirectional data transmission system through a fiber. Ordinarily, to realize a duplex transmission using only one wavelength, two fibers are required, one for the forward transmission, the other for the backward transmission.

In this thesis, a different strategy, a bidirectional transmission system is presented. In this strategy, a transceiver is used, which consists a transmitter, a receiver and a coupler. This strategy is shown in Fig. (6.1).

![Diagram of Bidirectional Transmission System]

Figure 6.1 Bidirectional Transmission System

Clearly, the bidirectional transmission system can improve the system capacity, and save fiber resources. However, there are some problems which need to be solved in the bidirectional transmission system. The most important limit is the echo which is
caused by the use of a fiber coupler and the existence of Rayleigh backscatter in fiber.

6.1.1 Fiber Coupler

Fig. (6.2) is a basic structure of a four-port fiber coupler [1]. The optical power is launched into port 1, and comes out of ports 3 and 4. A very small part of the launched power is echoed to port 2. The powers in these ports are $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$ and $P_4$.

![Figure 6.2 Fiber Coupler [1]](image)

The loss parameters of this coupler are defined as follows, the excess loss, $P_{el}$, is the ratio of power input to power output.

$$P_{el} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_1}{P_3 + P_4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

The insertion loss, $P_{il}$, is the loss obtained for a particular port to port path. For example, the insertion loss of port 1 to port 4, is,

$$P_{il} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_1}{P_4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

The crosstalk, $P_{ct}$, is the ratio of the backscatter power received at the second input port to the input power. Crosstalk provides a measure of the directional isolation. It contributes
the echo in the bidirectional transmission,

\[ P_{\text{cr}} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_2}{P_1}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.3)

Sometimes, directivity, \( P_{\text{dir}} \) is used instead of crosstalk,

\[ P_{\text{dir}} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_1}{P_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

The split ratio, \( P_{sr} \) is the percentage division of the optical power between the output ports,

\[ P_{sr} = \frac{P_3}{P_3 + P_4} \times 100\%. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.5)

Some typical specifications of WD1515 series, 1538/1558 nm bidirectional couplers from JDS Uniphase are illustrated [69].

**Table 6.1 WD1515 series: 1538/1558 nm Bidirectional Couplers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion loss</td>
<td>&lt; 2.5 dB bidirectional system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 3.2 dB unidirectional system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 4.0 dB universal system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidirectional directivity</td>
<td>&gt; 60 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return loss</td>
<td>&gt; 55 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6.1.2 Rayleigh Backscatter**

Rayleigh scattering is a fundamental loss mechanism arising from local
microscopic fluctuations in density [3]. Density fluctuations lead to random fluctuations of the refractive index. Light scattering in such a medium is known as Rayleigh scattering. The backscattered optical power, $P_{b}(t)$, can be obtained from the relationship [1],

$$P_{b}(t) = \frac{1}{2} P_{i} S \gamma_{r} W_{o} \gamma_{e} e^{-\gamma_{a} x_{f} t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.6)

where $P_{i}$ is the optical power launched into the fiber,

$S$ is the fraction of captured optical power,

$\gamma_{r}$ is the Rayleigh scattering coefficient,

$W_{o}$ is the input optical pulse width, and

$\gamma_{a}$ is the attenuation coefficient.

The Rayleigh scatter loss, $P_{rl}$, is defined as,

$$P_{rl} = \frac{P_{b}(0)}{P_{i}}. \hspace{1cm} (6.7)$$

![Figure 6.3 A Possible Backscatter Plot](image)

Rayleigh scatter can be measured using OTDR (Optical Time Domain Reflectometry)
Reflectometry). The reflected power measured by a OTDR is illustrated in Fig. (6.3).

The slope in this figure represents the loss caused by Rayleigh backscatter, and pulses are caused by reflections. The typical value of Rayleigh scatter loss is -45 dB to -60 dB [1].

6.2 Echo Cancellation

To eliminate the echo due to coupler crosstalk and Rayleigh backscatter, an echo canceller can be used. In this application, the echo canceller is an adaptive electrical filter used between the transmitter and the receiver, which estimates the echo signal from the original signal, then subtracts the estimated echo signal form the received signal. The echo cancellation is illustrated in Fig. (6.4).

![Diagram of Echo Cancellation](image)

**Figure 6.4 Echo Cancellation**

The structure of the echo cancellers in the simulation system is shown in Fig. (6.5). The echo cancellers are located after the channel equalizers and CPI cancellers. The structure of the echo cancellers is very similar with that of the channel equalizers and CPI cancellers, and they also use the normalized LMS adaptive algorithm.
6.3 Power Budget

A power budget is needed to ensure power will reach the receiver in order to maintain reliable performance, such as a BER being less than $10^{-9}$. The power budgets of two bidirectional transmission schemes are discussed, which are the bidirectional
transmission system without echo cancellers and the bidirectional transmission system with echo cancellers.

6.3.1 Power Budget of the System without Echo Cancellers

Fig. (6.6) shows the bidirectional transmission system without echo cancellers.

The power budget of this system is discussed as follows.

Given,

Wavelength: $\lambda$ $\lambda = 1550$ nm,

Transmitter_B power: $P_0$ $P_0 = 0$ dBm,

Transmitter_A power: $P_1$ $P_1 = 0$ dBm,

Coupler insertion loss: $P_{dl}$ $P_{dl} = 3$ dB,

Coupler crosstalk: $P_{ct}$ $P_{ct} = -50$ dB,

Rayleigh scatter loss: $P_{rl}$ $P_{rl} = -50$ dB,

Fiber loss: $\alpha_f$ $\alpha_f = 0.2$ dB/km,

System loss: $M_s$ $M_s = 6$ dB,

Bit rate: $B$ $B = 2.5$ GHz,

Receiver sensitivity (ASK modulation, heterodyne synchronous detection) [1]: $N_p$

$N_p = 36$ (average number of photons per bit),

Receiver sensitivity: $P_{rec}$ (dBm)

$$P_{rec} = N_p h f B = N_p h \frac{c}{\lambda} B = 11.5 \text{ nyw} = -49.4 \text{ dBm},$$

(6.8)

where $h$ is Planck's constant, $6.63 \times 10^{-34}$ J/Hz,

$f$ is optical frequency, and
Fiber length: $L$, measured in km for these calculations.

Therefore, we can get,

the signal power after coupler_A, $P_2$, is,

$$P_2 = P_1 - P_{dl} = -3 \text{ dBm}.$$ 

The signal power received by coupler_B, $P_3$, is,

$$P_3 = P_2 - \alpha_r \times L - M_t = -9 - 0.2 \times L \text{ dBm}.$$ 

The signal power received by receiver_B, $P_4$, is,

$$P_4 = P_3 - P_{dl} = -12 - 0.2 \times L \text{ dBm},$$

and the echoed signal due to coupler crosstalk and the Rayleigh scatter is,

$$P_5 = P_0 + P_{ct} + P_{rd} = -47 \text{ dBm}.$$ 

To detect the signal without the effect of echo, the received signal $P_4$ should be larger sufficiently than the echo signal $P_5$. From Fig. (5.4), to make the BER smaller than $10^{-9}$ when DGD is 100ps, the SNR is assumed to be 21 dB, that means,

$$P_4 - P_5 \geq 21 \text{ dB}.$$ 

Thus we have the maximum fiber length is,

$$L = 70 \text{ km}.$$ 

6.3.2 Power Budget of the System with Echo Cancellers

When the echo canceller is introduced in this bidirectional transmission system, the echo signal will be negligible compared with the received signal, and much smaller than the receiver sensitivity, $P_5 << P_{\text{rec}}$. Therefore, only the received signal needs only to be larger than the receiver sensitivity. Thus,

$$P_4 = P_{\text{rec}}.$$  
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and the maximum fiber length is $L_2 = 187$ km.

The discussion of power budget shows the improvement in transmission distance because of the echo canceller.

### 6.4 Simulation of Echo Canceller using SIMULINK

The bidirectional transmission system is simulated using SIMULINK, as shown in Fig. (6.7). The received signals are $U_r$ and $U_h$, which include the echo signals. $d_r$ and $d_h$ are desired signals which are used for training. $E_r$ and $E_h$ are transmitted signals which cause the echo.

![Figure 6.7 Simulation of Echo Cancellers](image)

A 150 km bidirectional optical fiber transmission system is simulated, where
PMD is 100 ps, and the system SNR, $\gamma_s$, is 30 dB. The signal bit rate, $B$, is 2.5 GHz. The number of the adaptive filter taps is 8, step-size, $\mu$ is 0.4. The training time is larger than $5 \times 10^{-6}$ sec. Two schemes, without echo cancellers and with echo cancellers, are investigated. The eye pattern of these two schemes are shown in Figs. (6.8, 6.9). In Fig. (6.8), because there are no echo cancellers, the eye is almost closed, which indicates that the fiber length can not exceed the maximum fiber length, 70 km. In Fig. (6.9), it is a open eye that shows the contributions of echo cancellers.

Figure 6.8 Eye Pattern without Echo Cancellers

Figure 6.9 Eye Pattern with Echo Cancellers
Chapter 7
Conclusions

7.1 Conclusions

This thesis has presented the simulation of optical fiber communication systems. For the first time, a baseband QAM signal transmission system in dually polarized fiber channels with PMD was simulated. This baseband simulation system included the transmitter, the fiber channel with PMD, the Gaussian noise simulator, the baseband coherent demodulator, channel equalizers, CPI cancellers and echo cancellers.

The waveplate model has been studied to obtain the frequency and impulse responses of the fiber channel with PMD, shown in Figs. (3.4-3.7). The impulse responses showed the broadened pulse, which agree with previous experimental results in Fig. (3.8).

Through the study of the normalized LMS algorithm applied to adaptive channel equalizers and CPI cancellers, shown in Eqs. (4.48-4.51), for the first time, a PMD compensation technique using the combination of channel equalizers and CPI cancellers was presented. The BER has been calculated when PMD is 10 ps, 100 ps and 500 ps, and the BER plot in Fig. (5.4) showed the contributions of this PMD compensation technique.

Moreover, this thesis presented, for the first time, adaptive echo cancellers applied in a bidirectional optical fiber communication system, with PMD compensation, to overcome the coupler echo and Rayleigh backscatter. For a transmission system with 100-ps PMD, the improvement in fiber length was 117 km when echo cancellers were
used.

7.2 Future Work

In this thesis, only first-order PMD was considered, neglecting chromatic dispersion and second-order PMD. These factors should be considered in future work.

Throughout this thesis, linear adaptive channel equalizers, CPI cancellers and echo cancellers were used. To incorporate nonlinear effects, such as those caused by high transmitter laser power, nonlinear filters should be used. These filters would allow further improvements in bit rate, bit error rate, or fiber length.

With the development of Si/SiGe epitaxial transistors, and other high-speed circuits, experiments to verify the simulation results could be performed. These experiments require optical transmission and reception equipment, a PMD emulator [68], and an integrated circuit design to adapt the tap weights of the adaptive filters. Only such a prototype would allow one to identify all of the complex issues associated with an implementation.
References:


Appendix A. SIMULINK® Simulation Models

A.1 System Model

Figure A.1 System Model

Table A.1 Parameters of System Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bit Rate</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.5e9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber Segments</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Points</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Trms</td>
<td>100e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bit Period</td>
<td>td</td>
<td>1/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Sampling Time</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>td/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter sampling Time</td>
<td>ts1</td>
<td>td/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise level</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAM State</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A.2 Transmitter_A(B)

Table A.2 Parameters of Transmitter_A(B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source Data Generator</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>randint(1e5,1,M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data output sampling time (sec)</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial output</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.3 System Model\Transmitter_A(B)\Transmitter

![Transmitter Diagram]

**Figure A.3 Transmitter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QASK S-amp</td>
<td>M-ary number</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input symbol interval and offset (sec)</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised-Cosine filter</td>
<td>Symbol interval (sec)</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computation sampling time (sec)</td>
<td>ts1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter rolloff factor</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delay step</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter type</td>
<td>'FIR'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With or without sinc filter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAM baseband</td>
<td>Initial phase (rad)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.4 System Model Channel

![Diagram of a system model channel](image)

**Figure A.4 Channel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random Phase Shift</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>(rand(1,1)*2-1)*pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data output sampling time (sec)</td>
<td>td*100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial output</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.5 System model\Channel\Fiber Channel

![Diagram of Optical Fiber Channel with PMD](image)

Figure A.5 Optical Fiber Channel with PMD
A.6 System Model \ Channel \ Fiber Channel \ Impulse Response

![Diagram of Impulse Response](image)

**Figure A.6 Impulse Response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>Buffer size</td>
<td>$l^*K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer overlap</td>
<td>$l$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input sampling time</td>
<td>$ts$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Unbuffer</td>
<td>Buffer size</td>
<td>$l^*K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First output index</td>
<td>$l+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Last output index</td>
<td>$l^*K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of channels</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input sampling time</td>
<td>$ts^<em>(l^</em>(K-1))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Function1 &amp; S-Function4</td>
<td>S-function name</td>
<td>channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S-function parameters</td>
<td>$l,K,0,i,ts^<em>(l^</em>(k-1))$ $i=1,2,3,4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Function2 &amp; S-Function3</td>
<td>S-function name</td>
<td>channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S-function parameters</td>
<td>$l,K,1,i,ts^<em>(l^</em>(k-1))$ $i=1,2,3,4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A.6 Parameters of Gaussian Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian Noise Generator</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>randn(2,1).*N0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data output sampling time (sec)</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial output</td>
<td>[0 0]'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.8 System Model\Channel\Fiber Channel\Baseband Demodulation

Figure A.8 Baseband demodulation

Table A.7 Parameters of Baseband Demodulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random Phase Shift</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>(rand(1.1)*2-1)*pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data output sampling time (sec)</td>
<td>td*100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial output</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Clock</td>
<td>Sampling time</td>
<td>ts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.9 System model\Receiver

Figure A.9a Receiver with Echo Cancellers

Figure A.9b Receiver without Echo Cancellers
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A.10 System model\Receiver\Adaptive Channel Equalizers, CPI Cancellers and Echo Cancellers

![Diagram of Adaptive Channel Equalizers & CPI Cancellers](image1)

Figure A.10a Adaptive Channel Equalizers & CPI Cancellers

![Diagram of Echo Cancellers](image2)

Figure A.10b Echo Cancellers

Table A.8 Parameters of Adaptive Channel Equalizers, CPI Cancellers and Echo Cancellers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalized LMS Adaptive filter</td>
<td>FIR filter length (n)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step size (mu)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial value of filter taps (ic)</td>
<td>zeros(1,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sampling time (ts_adp)</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.11 System Model\Receiver with Echo Canceller\Adaptive Channel Equalizers, CPI Cancellers and Echo canellers \Normalized LMS Adaptive Filter

![Diagram](image)

**Figure A.11** Normalized LMS Adaptive filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complex Normalization</td>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>Squared 2-norm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normalization bias</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>Buffer size</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer overlap</td>
<td>n-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input sampling time</td>
<td>ts_adp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>mu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Unit Delay</td>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>ic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.12 System model\Receiver\Decision

![Diagram of a decision circuit](image)

**Figure A.12 Decision Circuit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complex delay</td>
<td>Integer sampler delay</td>
<td>$2^<em>I</em>(K-1)*ts/td+6+6+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QADM baseband</td>
<td>Lowpass filter numerator</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lowpass filter denominator</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial phase (rad)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample time (sec)</td>
<td>ts1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QASK square-demap</td>
<td>QASK M-ary number</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Output symbol interval</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QASK square-map</td>
<td>QASK M-ary number</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input symbol interval</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.13 System model\Receiver\Performance meter

![Block diagram of Performance meter](image)

**Figure A.13 Performance meter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample time</td>
<td>[Symbol interval, plot offset, decision</td>
<td>[td, td/2, td/2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eye-diagram scatter</td>
<td>offset]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower and upper bound in-coming signal</td>
<td>[-1.5 1.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of saved traces</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Line-type for eye-pattern diagram</td>
<td>‘b<del>r</del>’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Line-type for scatter plot</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plot update sample time</td>
<td>ts1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.11 Parameters of Performance Meter
A.14 System model\Receiver\Performance meter\Square Error Trend

![Diagram](image)

Figure A.14 Square Error Trend

Table A.12 Parameters of Square Error Trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer</td>
<td>Buffer size</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer overlap</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input sampling time</td>
<td>td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial condition</td>
<td>ones(1,50)+ones(1,50)*i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. MATLAB® Source Code

B.1 channel.m

function [sys,x0,str,ts] = channel(t,x,u,flag,length,num,type,i,Ts)

verbatim
% Function: channel()
%
% Purpose: Implements the impulse responses of optical fiber channels
%
% Parameters:
%   length: length of x
%   type: real or imaginary part of impulse responses
%   i: channel bumber
%   Ts: sampling time
%   u: input signal,
%
% Copyright (c) 2000 by BIN LU. All Rights Reserved.
% Revision: 2.0
%
verbatim
switch flag,
  case 0,
    [sys,x0,str,ts] = mdlInitializeSizes(length,num,type,i,Ts);
  case 2,
    sys = mdlUpdate(t,x,u);
  case 3,
    sys = mdlOutputs(t,x,u,length);
end
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sys = [];

otherwise
  error([''unhandled flag = '',num2str(flag)]);
end

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% mdlInitializeSizes
% Return the sizes, initial conditions, and sample times for the S-
% function.
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

function [sys,x0,str,ts]=mdlInitializeSizes(length,num,type,i,Ts)
sizes = simsizes;

sizes.NumContStates = 0;
sizes.NumDiscStates = length;
sizes.NumOutputs = length*num;
sizes.NumInputs = length*num;
sizes.DirFeedthrough = 0;
sizes.NumSampleTimes = 1;

sys = simsizes(sizes);

B=dlmread('c:\FiberImp.dat');

if type==0
  x0=real(B(:,i)');
elseif type==1
  x0=imag(B(:,i)');
end
x0=abs(x0);
str = [];
ts = [Ts 0];

% end mdlInitializeSizes

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% mdlUpdate
% Handle discrete state updates, sample time hits, and major time step
% requirements.
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

function sys = mdlUpdate(t,x,u)
end mdlUpdate
sys=x;
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function sys = mdlOutputs(t, x, u, length)
y = filter(x, 1, u);
sys = y;
B.2 FiberImp.m

function FiberImp

% Function: FiberImp()
% Purpose: Simulate the channel of optic fiber in the effect of PMD
% Output: Frequency response H(f) & Impulse response h(n)
% Copyright (c) 2000 by BIN LU. All Rights Reserved.
% Revision: 2.0

% format long

% Pre-definition

C=3e8;  % Light speed;
lamda0=1.55e-6;
f0=C/lamda0;

Trms=100e-12;  % rms DGD 100ps
K=100;        % Segment Number
B=2.5e9;      % Bit rate 2.5G/10G
I=128;        % freq. points
T=1/B;

deltaT=T/I;   % Sampling period;
t=[-T/2:deltaT:T/2];
t=t(1:I);

Bw=1/deltaT;  % Sampling frequency
f=[-1/2:1/I:1/2]*Bw;
f=f(1:I);
f=f+f0;
w=2*pi*f;
PMD=Trms/(K^0.5);

Random parameter
rand_angle=(rand(2,K)-0.5)*2*pi;  Random angle  
rand_phase=(rand(2,K)-0.5)*2*pi;  Random phase  

time delay in each segment  
dgd=DGD(PMD,K);  

M=[];  
for i=1:I  frequency w(i) points I  

M0=1;  
for k=1:K  fiber segments K  

M1=[exp(j*rand_phase(1,k))*cos(rand_angle(1,k))  
    exp(j*rand_phase(1,k))*sin(rand_angle(1,k));  
    -exp(-j*rand_phase(1,k))*sin(rand_angle(1,k))  
    exp(-j*rand_phase(1,k))*cos(rand_angle(1,k))];  

Md=[exp(j*dgd(k)/2*w(i))  
    0  
    0  
    exp(-j*dgd(k)/2*w(i))];  

Mk=M1*Md;  
M0=M0*Mk;  
end  
M=[M;M0(1,1) M0(1,2) M0(2,1) M0(2,2)];  
end  

Overall frequency response  
M=[M(:,1) M(:,2) M(:,3) M(:,4)];  

Magnitude of frequency response  
figure  
subplot(221),plot(f,abs(M(:,1)))  
title('Frequency Response: H11');  
ylabel('Magnitude');  
subplot(222),plot(f,abs(M(:,2)))  
title('Frequency Response: H12');  
ylabel('Magnitude');  
subplot(223),plot(f,abs(M(:,3)))  
title('Frequency Response: H21');  
ylabel('Magnitude');  
subplot(224),plot(f,abs(M(:,4)))  
title('Frequency Response: H22');  

ylabel('Magnitude');

% Phase of frequency response
figure
subplot(221), plot(f, angle(M(:,1)))
title('Frequency Response: H11');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(222), plot(f, angle(M(:,2)))
title('Frequency Response: H12');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(223), plot(f, angle(M(:,3)))
title('Frequency Response: H21');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(224), plot(f, angle(M(:,4)))
title('Frequency Response: H22');
ylabel('phase');

% Real part of frequency response
figure
subplot(221), plot(f, real(M(:,1)))
title('Frequency Response: H11');
ylabel('real');
subplot(222), plot(f, real(M(:,2)))
title('Frequency Response: H12');
ylabel('real');
subplot(223), plot(f, real(M(:,3)))
title('Frequency Response: H21');
ylabel('real');
subplot(224), plot(f, real(M(:,4)))
title('Frequency Response: H22');
ylabel('real');

% Imagine part of frequency response
figure
subplot(221), plot(f, imag(M(:,1)))
title('Frequency Response: H11');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(222), plot(f, imag(M(:,2)))
title('Frequency Response: H12');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(223), plot(f, imag(M(:,3)))
title('Frequency Response: H21');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(224), plot(f, imag(M(:,4)))
title('Frequency Response: H22');
ylabel('imagine');
Covert frequency responses to impulse responses

```
M = [M(1:2:end,1:end); M(1:2:end,1)];
m = ifft(M);
m = [m(1:2:end,1:end); m(1:2:end,1)];
```

Magnitude of impulse response

```
figure
subplot(221), plot(t, abs(m(:,1)))
title('Impulse Response: H11');
ylabel('Magnitude');
subplot(222), plot(t, abs(m(:,2)))
title('Impulse Response: H12');
ylabel('Magnitude');
subplot(223), plot(t, abs(m(:,3)))
title('Impulse Response: H21');
ylabel('Magnitude');
subplot(224), plot(t, abs(m(:,4)))
title('Impulse Response: H22');
ylabel('Magnitude');
```

Phase of impulse response

```
figure
subplot(221), plot(t, angle(m(:,1)))
title('Impulse Response: H11');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(222), plot(t, angle(m(:,2)))
title('Impulse Response: H12');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(223), plot(t, angle(m(:,3)))
title('Impulse Response: H21');
ylabel('phase');
subplot(224), plot(t, angle(m(:,4)))
title('Impulse Response: H22');
ylabel('phase');
```

Real part of impulse response

```
figure
subplot(221), plot(t, real(m(:,1)))
title('Impulse Response: H11');
ylabel('real');
subplot(222), plot(t, real(m(:,2)))
title('Impulse Response: H12');
ylabel('real');
subplot(223), plot(t, real(m(:,3)))
title('Impulse Response: H21');
ylabel('real');
subplot(224), plot(t, real(m(:,4)))
title('Impulse Response: H22');
ylabel('real');
```
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Imagine part of impulse response

```matlab
figure
subplot(221), plot(t, imag(m(:,1)))
title('Impulse Response: H11');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(222), plot(t, imag(m(:,2)))
title('Impulse Response: H12');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(223), plot(t, imag(m(:,3)))
title('Impulse Response: H21');
ylabel('imagine');
subplot(224), plot(t, imag(m(:,4)))
title('Impulse Response: H22');
ylabel('imagine');
```

save filter coefficients

dlmwrite('FiberImp.dat', m);
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function z=DGD(delta,N)
% Function: DGD()
% Purpose: Generate Maxiwellian random DGDs
% 
% Copyright (c) 2000 by BIN LU. All Rights Reserved.
% Revision: 2.0

variance

delta=((delta*1e12)^2/3)^0.5;

Gaussian distributed dispersion

x=[0:N];
almaa=randn(3,N)*delta;

Maxiwellian distributed DGD

y=almaa.^2;
z0=(y(1,:)+y(2,:)+y(3,:)).^0.5;
z1=[];
for l=0:N
    z1=[z1 sum(z0(1,:)>l & z0(1,:)<l+l)/N];
end
z=z0*1e-12;

rms=delta;
t=x;
z2=((2/pi)^0.5).*t.^2/(rms^3).*exp(-t.^2/(2*rms^2));

draw the output figure

figure
stem(x,z1);
hold on
plot(x,z2,'r');
return