Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering EE4023 Class Presentations

Evaluator's Name (please print):	orint):	Categ	Category: Faculty	7		Date:	
Ratings:							
0 - Totally Unacceptable	4 - Serious Deficiencies	8 - Some Deficiencies	12 - Meets Standards (good)	Meets dards od)	16 - Exceeds Standards (very good)	20 - Ex Efi (Ex-	20 - Exceptionally Effective (Excellent)
For each classification as detailed here:	s detailed here:						
Design Content Does the project show some clear indication of engineering design work having been done? Is the quality of the design work done at the level of a senior student?	Relevance Is the project a relevant engineering project? Is the content of the presentation relevant to the project (no irrelevant filler)?	Depth Is the treatment superficial or substantive? Is the treatment of the project sufficiently deep for a senior student project?	Understanding Does the presenter demonstrate a clear understanding of the theory behind the project and the process used to carry it out?	tanding nter clear of the theory ject and the carry it out?	Clarity Is the presentation of the project clear and accessible? Will the audience understand? Will the audience want to listen?		Is the treatment of questions pontaneous? Are answers logical and based on sound evidence?
Each category should be marked out project should be marked separately.	ked out of 20, based or arately.	Each category should be marked out of 20, based on the indications above. Total the scores for the final mark in the right hand column. Individuals on the same project should be marked separately.	l the scores for t	he final mark	in the right hand colun	an. Individual	s on the same
Student Name	Project Name	Design Content (max of 20)	Relevance (max of 20)	Depth (max of 20)	Understanding (max of 20)	Clarity (max of 20)	Total (max of 100)
Comments:							